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How much books cost to produce in imperial China and how those costs were paid are important questions in book history. Understanding the financial aspects of publishing is critical to determining affordability and mapping readership, which are in turn important to understanding the significance of books in Chinese society and culture. Unfortunately, financial data are rare, and what little exists is difficult to interpret. These problems have led scholars to opposite conclusions. In early work on the subject, Wilt Idema and Chun Shum (Shen Jin) suggested that books were too expensive for most people to afford.1 More recently, Kai-wing Chow, Joseph McDermott, and Cynthia Brokaw have argued that by the late Ming books were affordable to a broader reading public, which Chow characterizes as including not only officials and merchants, but also a wide range of skilled workers.2 Chow stresses that production costs dropped in the late sixteenth century due to near-universal adoption of the “craftsman script” typeface and cheap bamboo paper, and that book market segmentation meant a person of moderate means could afford a variety of inexpensively printed books. Although Chow’s economic analysis is the most substantial to date for the Ming (1368–1644), his conclusions are based on a small number of sources. To put such arguments on a firmer foundation more data are needed. The purpose of this essay is to add to the store of data and examine the significance of this new information to the ongoing debate. The data are both qualitative and quantitative and relate to both the editing and physical production of local histories.

Local histories are a rich and largely untapped source for book history. They are more likely than other genres of Ming books to contain information on production costs because of their close connections to the bureaucracy and
its need to track expenses. Some even contain copies of the administrative orders related to compilation and printing. Additional financial information can be found in prefaces, postfaces, and the principles of compilation sections (fanli). Because local histories were compiled in every jurisdiction and the place of production is often known, studying them can enrich our understanding of geographic variations in book publishing.

Using financial information found in local histories to understand the publication of other types of books does require caution. After all, local histories were non-commercial books, at least in the sense that making a profit from sales was not the primary motivation for publishing them. Economic calculations for a county magistrate who had government funds, willing or unwilling donors, space in the yamen for compilation and printing offices, volunteer scholarly labor, and clerks who could write out the text and supervise block cutting were different from those for commercial publishers. Nevertheless, much of the information presented herein can inform our understanding of the broader publishing world in the Ming dynasty.

Although the local history appeared as an important genre in the Song and by the late twelfth or early thirteenth century had replaced earlier genres such as “map guides” (tujing), this study covers only the Ming because the number of surviving Song and Yuan local histories numbers only in the dozens. Of these, almost none are original editions, which are far more likely to contain financial information than are reconstructions and reprints. Some information can be gleaned from Song and Yuan prefaces reprinted in later editions and authors’ collected works, which survive in substantial number. But not all prefaces are equally useful. The ones most likely to give production details are secondary prefaces written by low-ranking contributors, such as Confucian-school instructors and students. Lead prefaces tended to be literary pieces written by higher-ranking administrators or famous scholars who were less familiar with, or less interested in discussing, production details. It was these literary prefaces that were often copied into later editions and collected works. Thus, although a limited study of the financing of Song and Yuan histories is possible, the Ming sources are far richer.

Materials for this essay were drawn from my review of approximately five hundred Ming local histories, or about half of the 1,014 titles still extant. The temporal and geographic distribution of my sample reflects the distribution of surviving imprints: most come from eastern China, and most were published...
after 1454, when compilation of the *Da Ming yitongzhi* (Comprehensive Gazetteer of the Great Ming) spurred publication of numerous local histories that are still extant.

This essay is divided into four parts, beginning with an overview of how local-history projects were initiated. It will then discuss the categories of expenses incurred in producing a local history. The essay’s third part presents quantitative information on costs and compares it to similar information found in other sources. The essay concludes with an exploration of financing methods.

**Initiating a Local History Project**

The compilation of a local history could be ordered by the court, the administrator of a superior territorial unit, or a local administrator, or undertaken upon individual initiative of a local person. Some projects started at the highest level. In 1376 the imperial court ordered locales across the empire to submit histories to the capital. In 1418 the court issued rules of compilation and ordered new submissions. Similar orders followed in 1454, 1520, 1524, and in the Chongzhen era (1628–1644). Most were issued in connection with compilation of comprehensive works, but the 1520 order resulted from the Zhengde emperor’s desire to read local histories during his visit to Nanjing.

Many local projects were initiated by officials from superior administrative units. For example, the 1542 *Gushi xian zhi* (History of Gushi County) was compiled pursuant to an order of the Henan grand coordinator requiring all subordinate units to submit histories. Gushi was ordered to compile a complete work, but in other cases, subordinate units only had to collect and submit materials to be combined and edited into a history of the superior unit. A local yamen would keep a manuscript copy of submitted materials, which were often expanded, polished, and published. This happened with materials used in the 1537 *Hengzhou ju zhi* (History of Hengzhou Prefecture). After subordinate county magistrates provided materials for the new prefectural history, several magistrates decided to publish separate county histories. Such follow-up came not only from magistrates, but also from local residents.

The projects described above started with superiors. The majority of county and subprefecture histories, however, were initiated by local administrators and scholars acting independently of higher-level officials. Compiling a history, especially from scratch, was time consuming, and if a magistrate did not start one
until well into his term, it was unlikely to be finished unless he drew heavily on preexisting work. As a result, many official local histories were based on “private histories” (si zhi or si sheng) compiled by a single local person working without support or recognition from the local administration. For example, Zhou Wanjin (dates unknown), an out-of-office juren degree holder from Neihuang county, Beizhili, spent years working on a private county history without managing to complete it. In 1523 he showed his work to the magistrate, who then opened a history-compilation office, hired Zhou, and brought in the Confucian-school instructor and his students to help Zhou complete the project. But not all authors of private histories were selected to work on later official histories. Local community school teacher Liao Benxiang compiled a private history of Chaling subprefecture, Huguang, in the Zhengde era (1506–1522), but his work became merely one source for the official 1525 edition, and he was not involved in its compilation.

Categories of Production Expenses

Although local histories were noncommercial publications, records of their costs can shed light on production expenses for commercial, family, and religious publishers as well. Like other publishers, publishers of local histories bought source materials and production supplies, paid editorial personnel and craftsmen, fed workers, gave gifts to those who assisted in the compilation or wrote prefaces, and incurred incidental expenses, such as for transportation and storage. Some expenses, such as those for hiring and feeding block cutters, were monetized and generally unavoidable, while others, such as salaries for editorial personnel, could often be limited or avoided altogether by recruiting volunteer labor. (See figure 1 for a list of supervisors, illustrators, calligraphers, and block cutters for a local history project.)

There is no single local history for which the documentation fully describes the expenses involved. Instead it is necessary to sift through the voluminous, but fragmentary record, sampling broadly across time and space, to create a composite picture. In this section I will use qualitative sources to describe the various labor and material inputs before turning to quantitative sources in the next section. Qualitative description is required because numerical records are limited to figures for woodblocks, paper, and craftsmen’s wage and board costs. But other types of expenses were incurred and also need to be discussed.
1. Names of supervisor, illustrator, calligraphers, and block cutters for the 1541 Weinan xian zhi (History of Weinan County), comp. by Nan Daji, “Xiuzhi houji” (Postface on Producing the History), p. 1b.
Obtaining Source Materials

A typical initial concern in compiling a local history was how to obtain access to the wide range of sources needed. Textual sources were essential, especially previous local histories, genealogies, literature, stone inscriptions, and government records. Some compilers obtained additional materials through interviews and observation. The number of works consulted could be large, as is suggested by the 1494 Xuzhou zhi (History of Xuzhou [Subprefecture]), which lists 107 source books, and the 1474 Hangzhou fu zhi (History of Hangzhou Prefecture), which lists 62 sources.16 [For a list of sources consulted in the 1512 Songjiang fu zhi (History of Songjiang Prefecture), see figure 2.]

Typically, many documents and books were available at little or no cost, through copying, borrowing, and gifts. Government records could be copied from the yamen, and local people donated materials. In a 1551 (Jiajing 30) order regarding compilation of the 1552 Xingning xian zhi (History of Xingning County), the Guangdong education intendant ordered that materials be gathered from the public in the following way.

First issue a big-character proclamation (dazi gaoshi) to clearly notify the entire county’s scholars and commoners that those who know of local people, past or present, who possess unused talent; or who were virtuous or righteous in poverty or adversity and have yet to be commemorated; or who know which current government policies should be followed and which should be changed are to forthwith submit reports to the instructor’s office in the county yamen to serve as documentation for carrying out the compilation.17

Proclamations were typically posted on the yamen gate, which would account for evidence that knowledge of ongoing compilations spread beyond the small circles of compilers, such as references to people submitting biographies of their relatives.18 (See figure 3 for a text related to the approval of a local history project.)

Because most compilers were local literati and administrators, many had personal copies of important historical, literary, biographical, and geographical works. In fact, key editorial personnel often had substantial book collections. Wang Yuanbin, the editor of the 1585 Tengxian zhi (History Teng County), gave his fellow compilers use of over one thousand juan from his own collection.19 As
2A–D. Long list of works consulted in compiling the 1512 *Songjiang fu zhi* (History of Songjing Prefecture), comp. by Gu Qing, “Canju jiuzhi”
“bing yinyong zhushu” (Old Local Histories Consulted and Works Cited), pp. 1a–2b (rpt. pp. 19–22).
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Petition for permission to print and the approval to print the 1552 *Xingning xian zhi* (*History of Xingning County*), comp. by Sheng Ji et al., "Xiuzhi wenyi" (*Administrative Documents Regarding Compilation of the Local History*), pp. 3a–4a (rpt. pp. 963–965).
members of the elite, compilers could draw on networks of friends and colleagues to obtain unpublished manuscripts by local authors, genealogies, and other rare materials. Sometimes key sources were found in the homes of common people. In the process of compiling the 1440 history of Guangchang county, Jiangxi, the compiler’s son asked all around the local area for the Yuan-dynasty edition of that county’s history and obtained the first volume from the home of a farmer and the second volume from the home of lacquer artisan Liu Wenxing.20

Gift giving and lending were important means of circulating books in the Ming, and old histories were most welcomed by compilers of new editions.21 In 1547 (Jiajing 26) Jiao Xicheng (1519 juren) was compiling the history of Dengzhou prefecture, Shandong, and wrote a letter to Cong Pan (1485–1510) asking for old county histories. Cong, a native of Wendeng, another county in Dengzhou, had his son put a cover on a local history manuscript compiled by his father and deliver it to Jiao.22 Such sharing of related histories was not uncommon. In 1502 Zhang Yuanzhen (1437–1506), the compiler of a Nanchang prefectural history, gave a copy of his work to Zhou Jifeng, who was compiling a history of Ningzhou, one of Nanchang’s subordinate subprefectures.23 Local literati used such lending and gifts of local histories to make connections with administrators and other scholars. For example, when compilers of the 1671 Hengzhou fu zhi (History Hengzhou Prefecture) were looking for copies of old editions, Wang Zhixie, a student at the Hengyang county Confucian school, gave the prefect a copy of the 1593 edition that he had bought and “kept as a treasure” in his home. The prefect turned it over to the compilers.24

Compilers regularly approached collectors for sources, especially old local histories, and many allowed access to their collections. In 1533 the printed edition of the Song-dynasty Jiading-era (1208–1224) history of Luhe, Nanzhili, was no longer extant, but compilers of a new edition got a handwritten copy from a book collector.25 In the case of Zhang Yuanzhen, discussed above, his gift of the Nanchang history reciprocated a favor Zhou Jifeng had done for him three years earlier. In 1499 Zhou had lent Zhang his unfinished manuscript for a history of Ningzhou subprefecture.26 Zhou’s willingness to share was continued by his grandnephew Zhou Qiyong, who allowed the compiler of the 1543 Ningzhou zhi (History of Ningzhou [Subprefecture]) to use his entire collection, including two Song-dynasty local histories and eighty-nine other titles.27 The younger Zhou was a recently retired official who initiated the compilation of a history of Ningzhou and convinced the local administration to hire his friend Gong Xian
as compiler.\textsuperscript{28} Gong had just compiled Zhou’s genealogy, and the resulting history discusses fourteen generations of the Zhou family, so perhaps Zhou’s motivation for granting access to his books was not entirely altruistic.\textsuperscript{29}

Local history compilers also looked to local-school libraries and government offices for books. The compilers of the 1564 Bozhou zhi (History of Bozhou [Subprefecture]) noted that Bozhou had no book collectors, so they had to rely on books placed in the Confucian school in the 1520s by former magistrate. Those books were the twenty-one histories; Wenxian tongkao (Comprehensive Examination of Culture and Institutions); Yuhai (The Jade Sea); Wenxuan (Selections of Refined Literature); Chuxue ji (Record of Initial Learning); and the histories of Zhongdu (Fengyang), Bozhou’s superior prefecture, of Guide, its neighboring prefecture, of and Luyi, its neighboring county.\textsuperscript{30}

When donations or access were not forthcoming, books and documents had to be purchased or copied. The purchase of materials for use in local histories can be traced back at least to the early Yuan. When Feng Fujing compiled the 1298 Changguo zhou tuzhi (History of Changguo Prefecture with Illustrations), he bought materials from local commoners (limin).\textsuperscript{31} Unpublished draft histories done by local scholars were often key sources for new editions, and although the compiler or his descendant was usually willing to freely share the manuscript, this was not always the case. Magistrate Wang Luan’s preface to the 1489 Chaoyang xian zhi (History of Chaoyang County) notes that he purchased a damaged manuscript of the county history from the family of Zhong Shijie (dates unknown), a local man who had compiled the work in his retirement. Zhong had wanted to publish it, but died before doing so.\textsuperscript{32} Obviously, the family and the magistrate considered the manuscript to have monetary value.

There was, in fact, a market for local histories in the Ming. Cao Xuequan (1547–1646), wrote that he bought Nanzhili local histories while stationed in Nanjing and bought Henan and Huguang local histories from descendents of local notables and gentry.\textsuperscript{33} Descendants of Guo Nan (retired 1447), the compiler of the 1441 Shangyu xian zhi (History of Shangyu County), used imprints as loan collateral.\textsuperscript{34} In 1618 the magistrate of Xinchang, Zhejiang, complained that according to precedent, whenever a censorial official came to town, he was expected to present him a copy of the local history, but because the woodblocks had burned, the magistrate had to buy surviving copies, which were becoming increasingly rare and more expensive with each purchase.\textsuperscript{35}

However, even when compilers were willing to pay, they were not always
able to acquire an important source. A compiler of the 1552 history of Lüeyang county, Shaanxi, wrote that an earlier edition existed, but he could not consult it because it was “secreted away by a selfish person.” Such a refusal was the exception, in light of official pressure to allow access and a general desire to help shape the representation of one’s native place and people.

Local-history compilers sometimes had to search for key sources outside of their immediate area. They could learn of potentially useful sources from reference works, such as Ma Duanlin’s Wenxian tongkao, and sometimes had to incur travel costs to get them. For example, in the Hongwu era (1368–1399), a compiler from Xingguo, Huguang, went to the Palace Library in Nanjing to make a copy of a Song edition he needed. In another case, after Ye Chengzong was appointed compiler of the 1640 history of Licheng county, Shandong, he bought many books for the project locally but had to get a copy of the Qi sheng (Historical Records of Qi), a Shandong history first published in 1351, from Shangqiu, Henan, about two hundred kilometers southwest of Licheng, and a copy of Jinyu ji (Collected Works of Jinyu) by Licheng native Yin Shidan (jinshi 1547), from the Jin region west of Shandong.

Books and government records were not the sole sources for local histories. Although some merely digested other works, many also drew on interviews and inscriptions collected in the field. Despite a superficial similarity, in fact, there is substantial variety in the scope and coverage of local histories. Many compilers covered only a small number of elite people and institutions in the administrative seats, while others included a greater range of social classes, locales, and topics. The compilers of the 1548 history of Ninghai subprefecture, Shandong, claimed that they interviewed recluses, gentry, patriarchs, old fishermen, village elders, mountain monks, Daoists, cart drivers, and artisans. The researcher for the 1585 history of Teng county, Shandong, government student Shen Yong (dates unknown) traversed the county recording inscriptions and interviewing gatekeepers and old people, and then gave his notes to the editor. The costs for such research would have been the researchers’ salaries, if any, transportation, lodging, plus minimal amounts for notepaper, brushes, and ink. Transportation costs would have varied depending on the mode of transportation, extent of travel, and the availability of government lodging. In some cases the costs may have been substantial, as when Xu Mu, a local commoner (buyi) who illustrated the 1642 history of Wu county, Suzhou prefecture, spent two months traveling around the county, sketching while on a boat, and preparing the final drawings after his return.
Compiling and Editing the Text

Both the editorial and production work for local histories were typically done in the school or yamen of the subject jurisdiction with personnel and materials brought to the site. Schools and yamen were the preferred sites because they were under governmental control, had sufficient space for editorial and production workers, and were where the cut woodblocks generally were stored. This section of the essay will examine who came to do the editorial work, how they got there, and the costs involved, as well as variations in the general pattern. The next section will address the same questions for the physical production.

Labor was the largest cost in producing a local history. In addition to wages for printing craftsmen, editorial personnel and preface authors were sometimes paid. Most editorial staffs consisted of instructors and students at local schools and local degree holders, and while no doubt many worked on local histories for free, some were paid. Administrators occasionally hired outside literati to compile their local histories.

Out-of-office local degree holders residing in their native places were one of the most important groups of editorial personnel. Local-history projects were a way for officials to provide meaningful work and income to men of their class. Some local degree holders had not yet received official posts, others were between assignments, on mourning leave, sick leave, or retired. A substantial number were men who had passed the provincial examination and worked on a local history while studying for the metropolitan examination. Some juren eventually gave up on ever attaining the jinshi degree and supported themselves through literary work, including the writing of local histories. Some compilers had served briefly in office but were unable to secure further positions. A typical case is the 1574 Wuxi xian zhi (History of Wuxi County). In 1572 (Longqing 6) Zhou Bangjie became Wuxi magistrate and was upset about the poor condition of the existing local history. So he visited Qin Liang (1515–1578), a retired jinshi, presented him with money, and asked him to revise it. Qin accepted, and the project began. Payments could be in the form of “book money” (shubi) paid in a lump sum, or salaries paid for the duration of the project, as in the case of the Tengxian zhi, for which a compilation office was opened, a group of Confucians scholars (ru) invited, and “brushes, paper, and salaries” provided. The group consisted of Wang Yuanbin, the local book collector mentioned above, five locals who were former officials, three juren degree holders, and one government student.
Like editorial staff, preface authors were sometimes paid. Various types of people wrote prefaces to local histories. Sometimes it was only the lead compiler and sponsoring local official, but often a superior official or an outside literatus contributed a preface as well. It is this last type that was most likely a paid contribution. One such case is that of Su You (jinshi 1526), a retired governor-general and author, who was asked to write a preface to the 1564 history of Bozhou, Nanzhili. As a compiler traveling on business passed through Su’s hometown of Puyang, Beizhili, he presented Su with a copy of the history and “book money” (shubì) provided by the Bozhou magistrate.49 Payments could be made not only to outsiders, but also to local school officials. Assistant Confucian-School Instructor Feng Bo’s postface to the 1504 Yanshi xian zhi (Yanshi County History) records that the magistrate “sent money over to the school office and asked me to write a postface.”50 While it is well known that literati were paid to write prefaces for other types of publications, Feng was then serving as a local official. While it is not clear whether such payments were common, this case does suggest that Confucian-school instructors could supplement their salaries through literary work, including work on local histories.

A former instructor could also be retained to finish a history begun during his tenure. In 1551 Sheng Ji, the instructor at the Xingning county Confucian school was working on the county history when he received a promotion outside of Xingning. Magistrate Huang Guokui had just arrived in the fall of 1550 and wanted Sheng to finish the history. County clerk Pan En petitioned the Guangdong superintendent of schools for permission to keep Sheng on, not as the Confucian-school instructor, but as a local-history compiler. The superintendent agreed, noting that previous Xingning officials neglected their duty to publish a history, and ordered that Sheng be given a quiet office in the county yamen, treated “generously according to guest ritual,” and be supplied with “necessities, money, paper, woodblocks, etc.”51

Although most local-history editors other than administrators and Confucian-school instructors were locals, some were hired from outside. Nonlocals tended to come from the same general area as the history on which they worked. For example, Zhang Yuanyi, a native of Shanyin county, Shaoxing prefecture, was hired to polish the 1579 history of Xinchang, another county in Shaoxing. Zhang was a student of the famous literatus Tang Shunzhi (1507–1560) and considered a capable scholar, but he had repeatedly failed the civil service examinations.52 Zhang also served as a collator of the 1587 Shaoxing prefecture history.53 Other
editors traveled substantial distances to work on local histories. The magistrate of Puzhou, Henan, sent a letter and book money to Deng Fu (juren 1516) at his home more than six hundred kilometers away in Changshu county, Suzhou prefecture. Deng then traveled to Puzhou to compile the history, which was published in 1527. One might assume this to be part of the late Ming “commodification of writing” described by Kai-wing Chow, but the phenomenon pre-dates the Ming. Literati such as Deng Fu, who came long distances, probably commanded higher fees than local hires.

*Obtaining and Cutting Woodblocks*

Like editorial work, the physical production of local histories was usually done in the yamen or school of the subject locale. (See figure 4.) Occasionally, blocks were cut in other locations, for example, the blocks for the 1537 *Hengzhou fu zhi* (History of Hengzhou Prefecture) were “cut in a humble home” (*zai cao she ke*), that of Liu Fu, the editor. This section will examine who did the physical production, how they were retained, where they got materials, and the costs involved.

Before cutting a text, woodblocks had to be obtained. When woodblocks were not available locally, they had to be shipped to the production site. Pear woodblocks for the 1600 history of Huai ran, a poor county in northern Shanxi, were purchased 700 li away with money donated by the magistrate. Although the place of purchase was not recorded, it may have been Beijing, which was approximately seven hundred li from Huai ran via the Sanggan river. When the manuscript for the 1637 history of Lianzhou prefecture, Guangdong, was completed, “pear and jujube were gathered in Gaoliang; block cutters were called in from Fengcheng.” Mt. Gaoliang was about two hundred kilometers east of Lianzhou, and Fengcheng was on the Gan river near Nanchang, Jiangxi, more than eight hundred kilometers from Lianzhou.

How local officials found outside craftsmen is rarely recorded, but for the 1549 history of Longqing subprefecture, the magistrate dispatched someone to Beijing, sixty kilometers to the south, to hire block cutters. More commonly, a history simply notes that craftsmen were “summoned” (*zheng jiang* or *zhao gong*) or “recruited” (*mu gong*). When the manuscript for the 1585 history of Qingyun county, Beizhili, was finished, the magistrate wanted high-quality block cutting, but in his view the county had no skilled craftsmen (*liang jiang*). Thus, he sent a letter and money to an official he knew who was serving in Tianchang, Nanzhili, asking him to retain craftsmen. Tianchang was located about fifty kilometers
4. Printer’s colophon for the 1485 Neixiang xian zhi (History of Neixiang County), comp. by Hu Kuang, table of contents, last page. Text reads, “In the winter, the eleventh month, of the year Chenghua yisi (1485) when the Dragon [stars] aligned, Magistrate Wo Pan ordered workers to cut blocks in the government offices of Neixiang.”
northwest of Yangzhou and about six hundred kilometers from Qingyun, but they were linked by river and the Grand Canal.\textsuperscript{62}

This is not to suggest that most blocks for local histories were cut by outside cutters.\textsuperscript{63} In many cases the block cutters are recorded as being locals. The blocks for the 1383 history of Yongzhou prefecture, Huguang, were cut by a local surnamed Zhao.\textsuperscript{64} The 1527 history of Yangwu county, Henan, notes, “Townsmen Zhang Zuo and Zhao Tang copied the text and cut it into wood.”\textsuperscript{65} The blocks for the 1552 history of Chongyi county, Jiangxi, were cut by Liao Can, a student at the local Yinyang school.\textsuperscript{66} And the 1627 history of Pinghu county, Zhejiang, records that a local commoner (\textit{zimin}) named Zhang Qixian was both the calligrapher and block cutter.\textsuperscript{67}

In some cases, manuscripts for local histories were sent out for the writing of the fine copy or printing. A transport supervisor took the manuscript for the 1621 \textit{Ganzhou fu zhi} (History of Ganzhou Prefecture) 300 kilometers to the Jiangxi provincial capital, Nanchang, where document clerks wrote out the text in preparation for printing. The sheets of the fine copy were assembled into volumes and shipped back to Ganzhou for block cutting.\textsuperscript{68} When the manuscript for the 1494 \textit{Xuzhou zhi} (History of Xuzhou [Subprefecture]) was complete, the magistrate sealed and delivered it to “skilled block cutters in the capital” and requested a preface of Lin Han (1466 \textit{jinshi}). “Capital” (\textit{jinshi}) refers to the northern capital, Beijing, where Lin was serving as chancellor of the Directorate of Education.\textsuperscript{69} The 1537 history of Yanling county, Henan, also was printed in Beijing, a distance of more than seven hundred kilometers. An official who was a Yanling native, Liu Ren, compiled the history while home on mourning leave. When Liu completed the manuscript, the magistrate said to him, “In the capital, all of the scholars’ calligraphy and block cutters’ graphic styles are excellent. I am willing to donate from my salary to help have it cut.” Thus, when he returned to Beijing, Liu took the manuscript with him.\textsuperscript{70} The 1497 history of Tingzhou prefecture, located in southwestern Fujian, was printed in Nanjing, a distance of over one thousand kilometers by the likely water route. Tingzhou prefect Wu Wendu, a native of Nanjing, sent his manuscript to his \textit{jinshi} classmate, Liu Zhen, who was serving as chancellor of the Nanjing Directorate of Education and asked him to have it printed.\textsuperscript{71} When woodblocks for histories were cut outside of the subject locale, they were presumably shipped back to the locale, with or without imprints, because the primary market for local histories was in the subject locale.
They were typically printed on demand from blocks held in the local yamen or school, and the people who paid for cutting would want the blocks. In all Ming-dynasty cases for which I have information, woodblocks for first editions of local histories were cut by hired craftsmen. Numerous prefaces mention that the magistrate or prefect called in craftsmen, and administrative orders related to publication of local histories often refer to craftsmen’s wages. In addition, I have not found block cutters described as yamen staff or corvée laborers, and print craftsmen (shuajiàng) are almost never found on lists of local government employees. A rare exception is one staff printing position (shuajiàng) in 1565 in the Guizhou Provincial Administration Commission branch office in Pu’an subprefecture.72 Nothing, however, suggests that this person printed the 1565 Pu’an zhou zhi (History of Pu’an Subprefecture). The only evidence of a first-edition local history printed by yamen staff craftsmen comes from the Song dynasty. The Anxi xian zhi (History of Anxi County) was printed by the local government’s own “Book Printing Office” (Yinshuju) in the county yamen.73 In such cases an analysis of production costs would need to account for staff costs, such as, for example, the six-tael annual salary of the Pu’an staff printer. The craftsman corvée system had largely collapsed by the mid-Ming, and even in the early Ming, donations funded the printing of local histories. Officials donated from their salaries to print the 1368 Cangwu jun zhi (History of Cangwu Commandery), the 1383 Yongzhou fu zhi (History of Yongzhou Prefecture) and the 1413 Yingchuan jun zhi (History of Yingchuan Commandery).74 Two local elderly scholars paid to print the 1421 Jinxi xian zhi (History of Jinxi County).75 In all of these cases, no staff or corvée printing craftsmen were mentioned.

As with editors, fees for calligraphers and block cutters no doubt varied with quality and reputation. An administrator could save money by having yamen clerks or cheap laborers write out the fine copy for transfer to the woodblocks.76 For example, the text of the 1555 Anqing fu zhi (History of Anqing Prefecture) was written out by three yamen clerks and five farmers (nongmin). The farmers’ calligraphy was acceptable, yet inelegant. (See figure 5.) Farmer Zhu Gao’s characters often drift from side to side as the page progresses, are unbalanced, and contain tentative strokes.77 A yamen clerk and two “commoners of the neighborhood” (jiemin) did the mediocre calligraphy of the 1554 history of Yancheng county, Henan.78 (See figure 6.)

It was possible to avoid such problems by looking outside the local area for craftsmen. The magistrate of Hui county, Henan, hired a man surnamed Chu from
5. Calligraphy by farmer Xiang Bian in the 1555 Anqing fu zhi (History of Anqing Prefecture), comp. by Li Xun et al, juan 31, p. 2b (rpt. p. 1678). Calligrapher’s name and status are written at the bottom of the first column on the right.
6. Calligraphy credit lines in the 1554 *Yancheng xian zhi* (History of Yancheng County), comp. by Zhao Yingshi et al., *juan* 12, p. 34b (rpt. p. 938), indicating that the calligraphy was written by Wang Chaoxuan, a yamen clerk, and Li Bao and Li Zhao, local commoners.
Kaifeng, the provincial capital about one hundred kilometers away, to write out the text of the county history and to help polish the manuscript). And as seen above, the manuscript for the 1537 history of Yanling county, Henan, was taken more than seven hundred kilometers to Beijing because of the high-quality calligraphy and block cutting available there. The tremendous range of calligraphic quality found in local histories can be seen in the accompanying illustrations. (See figures 7, 8, and 9.)

8. Unbalanced, poorly composed calligraphy found in 1555 Gongxian zhi (History of Gong County), comp. by Kang Shaodi et al., juan 6, p. 2b.
Moderately misaligned characters written by a farmer found in 1555 Anqing fu zhi (History of Anqing Prefecture), comp. by Li Xun et al., juan 16, p. 65a (rpt. p. 83).
Numerical Data on Production Costs

Having surveyed various costs for which we have no hard figures, I will now turn to those for which we do. Table 1 below summarizes numerical information on local-history production costs. Relevant portions of the Chinese texts can be found in Appendix 1. Following the table is an analysis of the data and comparison to information on xylographic-printing costs presented by Kai-wing Chow in his 2004 book, Publishing, Culture, and Power in Early Modern China and Martin Heijdra in his 2004 article, “Technology, Culture, and Economics: Movable Type versus Woodblock Printing in East Asia.”

Publishing costs for woodblock-printed books can be divided into one-time expenses and recurring expenses. The main one-time expenses were for acquiring or compiling a text, buying woodblocks, and paying the wages and board of copyists and block cutters. The main recurring expenses were for buying paper and ink, and also paying wages and board of printers and binders. To date, scholars have a limited understanding of these costs, separately and in total, across space and time. Reconstructing costs and comparing them to incomes and the costs of other goods and services will give us a better understanding of the business of publishing and the affordability of books.

In 2004 Kai-wing Chow brought together some of the limited available information and estimated that late Ming block-cutting costs were between 0.10 to 0.15 taels per folio page. His figure was based on numbers found in two books. The first was a 1554 edition of Yuzhang Luo xiansheng wenji (Collected Works of Mr. Luo of Yuzhang) by Luo Congyan (1072–1135), printed in Sha county, Fujian. It required 83 blocks for 161 folio pages, and cost 24 taels for “high-quality cutting” (xiuzi). That comes to about 0.15 taels per folio page. The second source for Chow was Linzi quanji (Complete Works of Master Lin) by Lin Zhaoen (1517–1598). According to the text, its blocks were cut in Nanjing from 1629 to 1631 and cost 300 taels for “over 1,500 blocks,” and “nearly three-thousand folio pages,” or about 0.1 taels per folio page with double-sided cutting. The text is actually a little under 2,500 folio pages, and thus, assuming that the 300 taels was not a rough figure as well, the cost would have been about 0.12 taels per folio page. These two figures, although important, are separated by seventy-five years of time, come from different regions, and do not break down the costs of wages, board, and woodblocks.

Table 1 provides more detail on specific costs and is a step towards filling in
gaps in the larger picture of publishing costs. In evaluating these figures, however, we must keep in mind that four of the eleven figures explicitly included paper costs, and others may have, but none revealed how many copies were printed or the cost of the paper. This introduces a potentially large margin of error because the larger the run, the higher the paper cost, and the biggest print run for most local histories would have been the first run, when imprints were made for people and offices connected to the project and interested locals.\textsuperscript{85}

The only known print run for a pre-Qing local history is the one hundred copies made by Wang Zhen for his movable wooden-type edition of the \textit{Jingde xian zhi} (History of Jingde County), published in 1298.\textsuperscript{86} Because movable type is disassembled and reused, this figure likely approximates the number of copies Wang expected to give away or sell, if not immediately, then within a reasonable time. If the text had been printed from cut blocks, the initial run could have been smaller because more copies could have been printed quickly in case of greater-than-expected demand. Although this figure suggests a ceiling for initial print runs in counties similar to Jingde in 1298, over the course of the Ming the potential audience grew due to the expanding educated population and the genre’s deeper penetration into local society. The number of local histories being produced began increasing in the Southern Song, though compilation of local histories down to the circuit level only became mandatory in 1296 under the Yuan. In the Ming, periodic edicts beginning in 1376 required compilation all the way down to the county level. This stimulated publication and increased interest.\textsuperscript{87}

Some evidence, however, suggests that the margin of error introduced by the unspecified paper costs was not large enough to render the figures in Table 1 unusable. Most important are sources implying that people with no connection to a local history project paid for their own copies. If that was the case, then the cost of such copies would not have been included in the figures taken from prepublication petitions, the sources for most figures in Table 1. After an initial print run, cut blocks were stored and local histories were printed on demand. For example, after the blocks for the 1530 \textit{Qizhou zhi} were cut, the magistrate had them placed in the home of compiler Gan Ze in order to “make it convenient for commoners to print copies” (\textit{bian min yinxing})\textsuperscript{88} The magistrate would not have paid for such copies. A record in the 1536 \textit{Yingzhou zhi} (History of Yingzhou [Subprefecture]) notes that the cut blocks were put in the Confucian-school library and that, “when worthy scholar gentry who travel through here or who live
here want copies, the paper’s [cost] should be calculated and craftsmen ordered to print it.”98 (See figure 10 for text describing printing on demand.)

Assuming that unaffiliated individuals paid for their own copies, that still leaves an unknown number of imprints made for compilers, donors, government offices, officials, schools, and preface authors. Most county histories list no more than a dozen editorial personnel, but that number could be several dozen for provincial histories. In addition, some local histories list dozens of donors. If each contributor and donor received one free copy in the initial run, then these copies, plus those sent to government offices, the local Confucian school, and preface authors, probably added up to between twenty and one hundred complimentary copies in most cases. A few people, such as the magistrate, main author, and major donors, may have received additional complimentary copies. To reflect this ambiguity, I have used a “<” (less than) symbol in the “per-page block-cutting cost” column of Table 1 for those titles that included paper or unnamed costs among the listed costs. For the 1542 Gushi xian zhi, I have used a “>” (greater than) symbol because the craftsmen’s board was donated, thereby reducing the total cost.

If we assume various numbers of imprints included in the figures, then rough estimates of paper costs can be made by using paper prices and sheet sizes found in other sources. Although there was a great range in paper prices in the mid- and late Ming, common, bamboo printing paper was relatively cheap, and as Lucille Chia has observed, many late-imperial local histories were printed on bamboo paper rather than on more expensive papers such as mulberry.99 Kai-wing Chow’s summary of known paper prices lists the kind of bamboo paper used by commercial publishers in the 1640s as costing 0.026 taels of silver per one hundred sheets.100 Chow’s figure comes from Ye Mengzhu (b. 1623), who, writing in the 1690s, recalled that in his youth in Shanghai bamboo paper was sold in seventy-five-sheet reams (dao) at a price not exceeding 0.02 taels.101 He did not, however, record the size of the sheets sold at this price.

There is, however, other evidence of common dimensions. The 1589 edition of the Da Ming huidian (Collected Regulations of the Great Ming) notes that 1.2 million sheets of bangzhi (civil service examination paper) were requisitioned decennially and that the required dimensions were 4.4 × 4 chi (150 × 136 cm).102 A 1580 memorial, written by Minister of Works Zeng Shengwu (b. 1532, retired 1582), lists sheet sizes for three types of paper the ministry requisitioned: da bai bangzhi (large, white, civil service paper) was 4.65 × 4.5 chi (158 × 153 cm), bai
10. Text describing the storage of the blocks for and printing of copies on demand for the 1536 Yingzhou zhi (History of Yingzhou [Subprefecture]), comp. by Lü Jingmeng et al., juan 20, p. 5b. See the ninth and tenth lines from the right for the text reading “when worthy scholar gentry who travel through here or who live here want copies, the paper’s [cost] should be calculated and craftsmen ordered to print it.”
_dazhong jia zhi_ (white, large/medium thick paper) was $3.9 \times 3.8$ _chi_ (133 x 129 cm), and _bai da Kaihua zhi_ (white, large Kaihua paper) was $5.05 \times 4.45$ _chi_ (172 x 151 cm).

Cynthia Brokaw found that publishers of woodblock-printed books in Sibao, Fujian, in the early twentieth century bought sheets of paper the size of door leaves by the _dao_, which, for them was a one-hundred sheet ream. The paper was cut one _dao_ at a time into eight, twelve, eighteen, or twenty-four sections based on the size needed for a particular imprint. The twenty-four-cut paper produced a half-folio page roughly 16 cm high by 11 cm wide. Adding margins of 14% margin to the width and 19% to the height would yield a full-folio page measuring 19 x 25 cm. Twenty-four such pages could be cut from a 150 x 76 cm sheet. Illustrations in the 1637 _Tiangong kaiwu_ (Devices for the Exploitation of Nature) show papermakers drying sheets that look to be approximately this size, and using frames that appear slightly shorter than wide.

If we assume that publishers of local histories in the Ming used similar-sized sheets of paper, then we can use actual page sizes and book lengths to calculate the approximate number of sheets needed for each imprint. The average measurements of fifty-nine local histories from 1510 to 1642, listed in Table 3, were 25.2 x 33.2 cm, including the blank spaces for the margins. The printed area averaged 21.1 cm high by 29.2 centimeters wide. Thirty such folio pages could be cut from a 172 x 151 cm sheet of paper, twenty-four from a 158 x 153 cm sheet, twenty from a 133 x 129 cm sheet, and twelve from a 150 x 76 cm sheet.

The average length of eleven local histories in Table 1 is 663 folio pages, thus one copy would require 22.1 sheets of 172 x 151 cm paper, 27.6 sheets of 158 x 153 cm paper, 33.2 sheets of 133 x 129 cm paper, or 55.25 sheets of 150 x 76 cm paper. If the paper, like that of Ye Mengzhu’s youth, cost 0.02 taels per 75 sheets, it would mean a per-copy paper cost of 0.006 taels, 0.0074 taels, 0.0089 taels, or 0.015 taels, respectively. The paper for 100 copies would have cost 0.6 taels, 0.74 taels, 0.89 taels, or 1.5 taels, respectively. The average production cost of the ten titles used to calculate average length was 120 taels, and thus, cheap paper for 100 copies would have been less than 1.25% of the total cost.

If more expensive paper were used, or if Ye Mengzhu’s figure refers to a smaller-sized paper, these figures would have to be adjusted accordingly. For example, according to Shen Bang’s 1593 _Wanshu zaji_ (Miscellaneous Records of the Wan[ping]Yamen), when the Ministry of Rites published a new edition of the _Da Ming huimin_ (1589), it used 11,600 sheets of _lianqizhi_ (a high-quality printing
paper), at a cost of 9.28 taels, or 0.08 taels per 100 sheets, about triple the cost of Ye Mengzhu’s paper.97 We can tell that the paper for the *Da Ming huidian* came in large sheets because Shen’s entry on the expenses of the Taipusi (Court of the Imperial Stud) includes *liangqi zhi* at the same price but specifies that it was “large” (*da*).98 From Zeng Shengwu’s 1580 memorial, we know that sheet dimensions described as “large” were either 158 x 153 cm, or 172 x 151 cm, while the one described as “large/medium” was 133 x 129 cm. If we use the smaller “large” sheet, the 158 x 153 cm sheet, then at 0.08 taels per 100 sheets the average-sized local history would require 27.6 sheets at a cost of 0.022 taels per copy, or 2.2 taels for 100 copies. This is less than 2% of the average total production cost of 120 taels.

The above calculations were done for the titles as a group, but they can also be done for individual titles. For example, the 1530 *Qizhou zhi* is 214 folio pages long, each folio page is 24.7 cm high by 34.2 cm wide (including margins), and it cost 38.56 taels for “wood for blocks, craftsmen’s wages and board, printing paper, etc.” If we assume a 158 cm by 153 cm sheet-size costing 0.08 taels per 100 sheets, then printing one copy would require 8.9 sheets at a cost of 0.0071 taels. Paper for one hundred copies would be 0.71 taels, or 1.8% of the total cost. In sum, if in fact the figures in Table 1 include paper for not more than one hundred copies, then the margin of error introduced by the unknown paper costs was likely under 10%. The cost of paper as a percentage of total production cost is presented in Table 2, which makes different assumptions about sheet size and paper costs. The table calculates separate figures based on assumptions of 50, 100, or 200 complimentary copies.

This analysis is not inconsistent with Martin Heijdra’s analysis of xylographic printing costs in the nineteenth century. His figures are based on an 1834 article from the *Chinese Repository*, a missionary publication, which compares the costs of printing Chinese Bibles by various methods, most likely in Batavia, Dutch East Indies. Heijdra lists paper as constituting 4.0% of the total cost of blocks, tools, transcription, cutting, printing, binding, and paper, assuming the printing of 100 copies of a 500-page book.99 He goes on to calculate costs of these items assuming print runs of 2000, 5000, and 7000 copies, and shows that as the number of copies increases, the percentage of the total cost made up by paper also increases. This occurs because the block cutting is a one-time cost, unless the blocks wear out, while paper costs increase with every copy made. For a 5000-copy edition, paper would be the largest single cost and constitute 41.5% of the total cost.

Although paper costs loomed large in economic calculations for printing
Chinese Bibles, they were less important in publishing local histories because for
magistrates the definition of success differed from that for missionaries. While
missionaries wanted to print and distribute the maximum number of Bibles at
the lowest unit cost, magistrates mainly wanted the blocks cut. In fact, the act
of cutting the blocks was considered the essential indicator of completion. This
is clear from prefaces, which routinely praise magistrates for getting the blocks
cut but never praise them for distributing a large number of copies. Although
magistrates did present copies to their superiors and a small number of other
individuals, they were not funding large-scale printing and distribution. Cutting
blocks for a local history was more akin to repairing a bridge or a school; it was
a worthy infrastructure project for which the magistrate would be praised, but
much of the actual use would come later. Most local histories were finished late
in a magistrate’s term of office, and he would move on to another post soon after
publication, leaving behind a record of his successful administration. Paper costs
for future government-paid copies, such as copies provided to visiting officials,
would come out of a different magistrate’s budget and not be part of the publish-
ing magistrate’s original calculations.

In addition to the potential error created by unknown paper costs, three
of the figures in Table 1 have a second problem: they may have included editor-
ial costs, which as we have already seen, were often monetized, at least in part.
When the Yong’an xian zhi (History of Yong’an County) compilation began, the
magistrate gave ninety strings of cash to the assistant magistrate and clerk “to
manage the project’s expenses,” but the text does not describe the expenses.¹⁰⁰
The Jiangyin xian zhi (History of Jiangyin County) lists the amounts of donations but
not the expenses. The per-page costs of these two titles were substantially higher
than the other.¹⁰¹ A third figure that may have included editorial costs is that for
the 1588 Nanchang fu zhi (History of Nanchang Prefecture). One hundred-fifty
“and some” taels were approved for the “local history compilation costs” (xiu zhi
zhi fei), but costs were not itemized.¹⁰²

A final difficulty in using these figures is that none of my sources record
printing and binding costs separately. Some include wages and board for “crafts-
men,” while others list only “cutters.” For example, the 1530 Qizhou zhi lists both
“craftsmen’s wages and food” and “printing paper” among the costs, suggesting that
the total figure included printing and binding of the initial copies.¹⁰³ The labor
costs for the 1552 Xingning xian zhi are phrased more narrowly as, “cutter wages
and food” (ke zi gong shi).¹⁰⁴ Nevertheless, the text also mentions printing paper,
implying that printing costs were included. Heijdra lists printing and binding as being approximately the same as the cost of paper in a 100-copy print run, about 4% of the total.

Even though most of these figures contain ambiguities, the set as a whole is nonetheless useful in assessing book production costs between 1510 and 1642. Of the eleven figures, ten are for books of known length and cost. The per-page costs of cutting without adjusting for editorial, paper, printing, and binding costs, ranged from 0.091 to 0.437 taels per page, and averaged 0.201 taels per page. Throwing out the three figures that may include editorial costs, the per-page cost ranged from 0.091 to 0.232 taels per page, and averaged 0.16 taels per page. If we assume that the cost of paper, printing, and binding one hundred copies was included in the figures given, and subtract 10% to reflect those costs, the average cutting cost would be about 0.14 taels per folio page.

The local histories in Table 1 reveal additional information that makes possible rough calculations of the relative proportions of wages, board, woodblocks, and paper. The 1536 Yingzhou zhi (History of Yingzhou [Subprefecture]) records that the craftsmen’s daily necessities, food, and drink (gongren ri yong yin shi) were double the craftsmen’s wages (gongyin).105 From the 1552 Xingning xian zhi, we know that its pear woodblocks were 5.5% of the ten taels it cost for blocks, cutter wages, food, and printing paper. If paper was 4.4% (assuming 100 copies printed from 150 cm by 136 cm sheets costing 0.08 taels per hundred) and we split the remaining 90.1% along the lines of the Yingzhou zhi, then a rough breakdown of costs for the Xingning xian zhi would be: 60% craftsmen’s food, drink, and daily necessities; 30% craftsmen’s wages; 6% woodblocks; 4% paper.

Another way to determine relative proportions of total costs would be to use the 42 tael figure from the 1536 Yingzhou zhi to calculate a per-page cost for craftsmen’s food, drink, and daily necessities, and apply that to the two titles closest in time, the 1530 Qizhou zhi and the 1542 Gushi xian zhi. The Yingzhou board cost was 42 taels divided by 302 pages, or 0.14 taels per page. Applying that to the Qizhou zhi, which was 214 pages, would mean 29.76 taels out of 38.56 taels total, or 77%, went to the craftsmen’s board. Assuming 10% for woodblocks and paper would mean only 13% went to wages. Applying the 0.14 taels per page board figure to the 207-page 1542 Gushi xian zhi, which lists costs of 34 taels for “only the craftsmen’s wages, wood for blocks,” would mean 29 taels out of 63 total (for blocks, wages, and board), or 46%, went to board.106 If the blocks cost about 2 taels, 32 taels out of 63, or 51% would have been for wages. These
calculations suggest substantial variation in the ratio of wages to board costs, but do show that board costs made up a large part of the total.

The variability of costs also applies to woodblocks. The *Xingning xian zhi* price for pear woodblocks, 0.01 taels per block, is much lower than previously known late Ming prices, which range from 0.03 to 0.4 taels per block. Shen Bang’s 1593 *Wanshu zaji* lists prices from 0.1 taels to 0.4 taels, figures which represent the expenses of various government offices in Beijing and the nearby Wanping.\(^\text{107}\) Kai-wing Chow argues that those prices would have been for more expensive woods, such as jujube, and that since all of the prices came from a single text, the price differences reflected differences in block size and quality.\(^\text{108}\) One other source is the Wanli era (1572–1620) edition of *Fangce zang* (Rectangular-Folio Tripitaka), printed in Zhejiang, which records a price of 0.03 taels per block for pear wood.\(^\text{109}\) The *Xingning xian zhi* (History of Xingning County) price of 0.01 taels is noteworthy because it is just one third of the previously known lowest price, is three decades earlier than Shen Bang’s prices, and comes from eastern Guangdong. The fact that the 1552 Xingning price was only one-fortieth of the high-end 1580s Beijing price shows that we still need to find many more prices from different times and regions to be confident that we understand Ming woodblock costs.

We also should not assume that magistrates publishing local histories always tried to use the cheapest blocks. The blocks of the Zhengtong-era (1436–1450) history of Xincheng county were made from red jujube (*huazao*).\(^\text{110}\) Just as a magistrate who wanted a high-quality book could retain skilled editors, calligraphers, and block cutters, he could also use high-quality materials, both blocks and paper.

For those magistrates who wished to reduce costs, cutting blocks on both sides was an option. Figures for the *Xingning xian zhi* (History of Xingning County) reveal how much could be saved. If the blocks were cut on one side only, fifty-five additional blocks would have been needed at a cost of 0.55 taels. This would have meant a 5.5% increase in the total cost (listed as blocks, cutters’ wages, board, and printing paper). Despite the higher cost of cutting on one side only, the publishers of the 1530 *Qizhou zhi* (History of Qizhou [Subprefecture]) and 1642 *Wuxian zhi* (History of Wu County) chose this option. (For a notation on choices made with respect to one-sided or two-sided block cutting, see figure 11.) This probably was done to maintain quality. A block cut on both sides would have worn more
quickly than a block cut on one side because pressure would be applied twice for each imprint. According to Lucille Chia, pear wood blocks could be used to print approximately 2,000–3,000 copies before needing repairs. I have found nothing to suggest that initial print runs were anything near that size, so even if the blocks wore twice as fast as those cut on one side, hundreds of crisp prints could be made at lower cost during the tenure of the magistrate who sponsored the project. The repair or recutting of cheaply cut blocks would be a later magistrate’s problem.

Another way to save money was to reuse surviving blocks from previous editions. When the *Wuwei zhou zhi* (History of Wuwei Subprefecture) was compiled and printed in 1528 (Jiajing 7), 50–60% of the blocks for the previous edition were already lost. To save money and to honor the original compilers’ efforts, the magistrate reused the surviving blocks without alteration. (See figure 12.)

One of the local histories listed in Table 1, the 1510 *Guiji zhi* (History of Guiji), sheds light on both the pace of cutting and block cutters’ earnings for high-quality work. (See figure 13.) Fifteen block cutters worked over a period of seven and one-half months, putting in 1,600 workdays (*gong*). The text was 1,030 folio pages. Thus, on average, each folio page took 1.55 workdays to cut, or in other words, one cutter could complete about two-thirds of a block per day. The total of “labor, board, and other costs” was 110 taels of silver. If 30% was for the block cutters’ wages, that cost would be 33 taels, and the daily wage would be 33 taels for 1,600 workdays, or 0.02 taels. If 45% was for the block cutters’ wages, the daily wage would have been 0.03 taels. The fifteen block cutters each worked an average of 106.7 days during the 225 day period. If the block cutters all were paid the same rate, each would have received about two to three taels for the project. This figure seems reasonable in light of the six-tael annual salary of the staff printer in the Pu’an, Guizhou, provincial-administration-commission branch office as of 1565, discussed above.

The wage rate could also be expressed in terms of amount paid per number of characters cut. Yang Shengxin estimates that block cutters could cut 100–150 characters per day and were paid 0.02 to 0.05 taels per one hundred characters. To convert the 0.02 taels per day wage for the *Guiji zhi* to a piece rate requires a count of characters per page. Each folio page of the 1510 *Guiji zhi* has 20 columns with space for 20 large characters or 40 small characters per column. Thus, a full page would have 400 large characters, plus about seven to nine small characters

along the page crease for the title, *juan*, and page number. Few pages, however, are full. Based on character counts of sample pages, approximately 70% of each page was filled, 90% by large characters and 10% by small, which would mean about 316 characters per page, large and small. At 1.55 days per block, the block cutters did the equivalent of about 204 characters per day. Calculated as a piece rate, their wage was about 0.0135 taels per one hundred characters. That is one-third below the low end found by Yang.
13. Details on the production time, costs, etc., for the 1510 reprinting of the 1201 "Guiji xu zhi" (Continuation History of Guiji), compiled by Shi Su, Zhao Hao, et al., compiled., postface, p. 2a.
Financing

To understand the economics of Ming local histories, we need to know the sources of the money for production costs, not just how much they cost to produce and purchase. The compilation of local histories was widely recognized as one of a local official’s duties, but there was little agreement on how to pay for printing. Although superior-government officers often ordered subordinates to compile local histories, the superior-government officers rarely paid. Almost all first editions were published by local governments with funds raised in a variety of ways. Most commonly, local administrators and compilers donated money, but government funds were also used, especially money from fines, litigation fees, and programs designed to cover magistrates’ office expenses. In some cases, one or more local individuals donated, and, in others, funds were raised through the lijia (administrative community) and mostly likely through lineage organizations.

An administrator’s duty to compile a local history is routinely discussed in his preface. As Xiong Wenhan explains in the 1548 Ninghai zhou zhi (History of Ninghai Subprefecture),

The duty for a state to have a dynastic history, a locale to have a local history, and a family to have a genealogy is the same. There has long been a saying that compares descendants’ not compiling a genealogy to being unfilial. That being so, can an official who fails to compile a local history be considered loyal?\textsuperscript{115}

A Jiangxi surveillance official wrote circa 1544, “compiling a local history is a primary duty in local administration.”\textsuperscript{116} Compilers traced their duty to that of Zhou dynasty overseers of feudatories (zhifang shi), who were responsible for maintaining local maps, and emphasized that even the sage Zhu Xi (1130–1200) valued local histories. As Song Ji (dates unknown) explained in his 1438 preface to his Pengcheng zhi (History of Pengcheng), “When Master Zhu governed Nan-kang military prefecture, the first thing he did upon arrival was to consult the local history. Commentators say he understood administrative duties, and local histories relate to the administrative system (zhengti). They are not insignificant.”\textsuperscript{117}

Although the duty to compile was recognized, there was not agreement on whether the government should pay for printing, and if so, how. This issue can be seen in the 1536 reprinting of the 1530 Qizhou zhi (History of Qizhou [Subprefecture]). After the original blocks were cut, the magistrate stored them in
the compiler’s home, but within a few years, someone took away seventeen blocks. The new magistrate had the missing blocks re-cut from an original imprint, paying for it out of his salary, even though, in the words of a postface author, “those who discuss it say, ‘this certainly cannot be viewed as being outside the scope of his official duties.’”

Local officials often sought permission before spending government money. Assistant Magistrate Lü Jingmeng wrote in his postface to the 1536 Yingzhou zhi (History of Yingzhou [Subprefecture]):

Getting the production money was troublesome. I submitted a request to the military defense circuit (bingbeidao) for the craftsmen’s wages only and spent twenty-one taels of this subprefecture’s unrestricted government silver, but the craftsmen required twice as much for their daily food and drink. Thus I took some extra money from my own salary and made arrangements for a very small amount of other money; I did not ask the administrative community units (lijia) for it.

Military defense circuits were multiple-prefecture jurisdictions for military affairs. Benjamin Elman has argued that there was a link between military needs and local history production, which may explain the defense circuit’s willingness to support the project, if only in part.

Getting permission to spend government funds before beginning to cut the blocks caused problems for local administrators if they only had estimated rather than actual costs. If the project went over budget, administrators would have to cover the difference. In some cases, an official simply declared that the local history was a government project and used unspecified funds. A preface to the 1376 history of Chaozhou prefecture, Guangdong, notes that the previous edition’s blocks had been stored in the prefectural-school library, but were damaged as the Yuan dynasty collapsed. In 1375 an assistant censor visited Chaozhou and asked about the local history. When he learned that the blocks had been damaged, he made its restoration and printing an “internal government project” (gong nei shi). Superiors also could allow local officials to use whatever funds they had that were not otherwise spoken for. The grand coordinator of Huguang province let the prefect of Changde use “unrestricted government funds” (wu’ai guangqian) to compile and publish the 1538 history. The grand coordinator simply required an expense report and list of compilers.

More commonly, however, when the government paid, funds came from
named sources. Publication of the 1552 Xingning xian zhi (History of Xingning County) was financed by “equalization silver” (junping yin). The Xingning magistrate requested and received permission to spend the money, writing, “It is on the record that there is an internal account balance of 10,8987 taels and a bit from the equalization silver for 1540 (the nineteenth year of the Jiajing era) and other years.”

His petition was approved by six different offices: the prefecture, the provincial surveillance commission branch office, the provincial administration commission branch office, the provincial administration commission main office, the regional inspector, and the grand coordinator. This path through the bureaucracy was relatively short—publication of the 1597 Fu’an xian zhi (History of Fu’an County) was approved by twelve different offices and officers.

“Equalization” was a program designed to simplify tax payments, cover the magistrate’s office expenses, and replace some of the lijia requisitions. Categories of expenses covered by equalization silver are listed in the 1547 history of Zhangping county, Fujian, and included paper, brushes, ink, seal mud, as well as wages, food, and drink for copyists and craftsmen. The Zhangping xian zhi (History of Zhangping County) records that the amount of equalization silver collected from the lijia in the year of publication was 349 taels of silver, of which 149.5 taels had not yet been allocated. Such an amount would have been more than enough to pay publication costs. In the absence of equalization silver or a similar program, the lijia was often directly responsible for providing these types of expenses. This, plus the fact that some magistrates proudly recorded that they did not bother the lijia or “the commoners” (min) to finance the local history, suggests that others did.

One clear case in which lijia units were assessed for publishing a local history is the 1618 reprinting of the 1579 Xinchang xian zhi. Because the old woodblocks had burned, Xinchang magistrate Zheng Dongbi decided to have new blocks cut from an extant imprint (fanke). He calculated the number of characters, divided the cost equally among Xinchang’s thirty administrative communities (li), and called in craftsmen. The cost to each li was “two and some qian” (er qian ling), or a total of six and some taels.

In addition to direct assessment, administrators could also tap already-collected lijia funds. The magistrate of Fengrun county, Beizhili, received permission to spend up to 42.856 taels of “assignable reserve silver” (paisheng yin) for cutting and printing the 1570 Fengrun xian zhi (History of Fengrun County). Paishengyin was money set aside by the lijia to meet unexpected taxes.
Money collected by magistrates in local court cases were a major source of funding. Publication of the 1530 Qizhou zhi (History of Qizhou [Subprefecture]) was paid for with “the document, board, and other fees from the criminal Zhang Quan et al.” Magistrate Huang Yilong described his financing plan for the 1572 Chaoyang xian zhi (History of Chaoyang County) as follows:

I plan to use confiscated ill-gotten gains and fines collected in cases under my sole jurisdiction (zi li cisong zangfa) for the expenses: monetary gifts of encouragement to local scholars, labor of Confucian students and copyists, and the various supplies, craftsmen, etc.

By “zi li cisong” the magistrate meant minor cases that were not normally subject to appellate review. Although he controlled the underlying cases, he still needed permission to spend money derived from them.

Magistrate Su Minwang financed the 1594 Yong’an xian zhi (History of Yong’an County) with 90 strings of cash (min) paid into the county treasury for the redemption of crimes. Su transferred the money to the assistant magistrate and clerk for use in the project. Similarly, the 1544 Guangxin fu zhi (History of Guangxin Prefecture) was financed with fines paid to redeem crimes and “confiscated illicit profits and similar monies.”

Money collected from tax cheats was used to pay for the 1597 Fu’an xian zhi (History of Fu’an County). Fu’an magistrate Lu Yizai’s petition explains that a 1581 flood swept away the 1559 edition’s blocks and all but a few imprints. Lu described his plan to finance the reccompilation:

Production materials can be supplied from excess document paper. Other expenses can be paid from [funds collected from those who] cheated on their cultivated field, garden, or other taxes; we will not bother the commoners with an additional burden.

Rents newly assessed against home owners who had encroached on the neighboring Confucian–school grounds covered a portion of the expenses for the 1600 Gutian xian zhi (History of Gutian County). When county magistrate Liu Riyi was recompiling the history, he discovered the encroachment. About a century earlier a local person had been given a piece of land cut from the school archery grounds in exchange for land needed for a new town wall. Subsequent owners of the parcel built numerous small homes on the adjacent school property. Instead of having them demolished, the magistrate ordered compensation, some
to be paid as rent to the school and some to be paid to the yamen to support the local history project.\textsuperscript{138} Before finding this source of funds, the magistrate wrote that he did not dare take the money from the treasury or assess the people, so his only choice was to donate from his salary, and use money from redemption of crimes and excess stored grain.\textsuperscript{139}

The 1544 \textit{Yongfeng xian zhi} (History of Yongfeng County) provides evidence of two types of funding: money collected in the magistrate’s court and contributions by officials. Yongfeng was subordinate to Guangxin prefecture, which was compiling a prefectural history. The Yongfeng magistrate gathered materials and submitted them to the prefecture. The Jiangxi grand coordinator ordered the Guangxin prefect to use fines paid to redeem crimes for the compilation and publishing costs. As with the \textit{Fu’an xian zhi}, the local people were not to be bothered with a levy, because that would “further demonstrate the government’s sympathy for the people.” The grand coordinator’s order came down via the assistant surveillance commissioner, who broadened the financing language to include not only fines, but also confiscated illicit profits and similar monies (\textit{ren fan zang shu deng yin}) held by the prefecture and the subordinate county government.\textsuperscript{140} After submitting materials for the prefectural history, the county magistrate turned them into a manuscript for a county history, and he, along with the vice-magistrate, Confucian-school instructor and assistant instructor, paid for its publication out of their own salaries. Apparently, all of the county’s money from fines had already been spent on the prefectural history.\textsuperscript{141}

These examples of local officials using money from named sources outside of general revenues shows that local funds were not fungible. Most local administrators did not use general revenues or special levies to finance local histories. Instead, they sought funds collected from wrongdoers. This practice was not confined to local yamen; the Southern Imperial Academy had a system in which fines received from officials and students were used to repair and supplement woodblocks used to print a variety of texts.\textsuperscript{142}

The most commonly mentioned financing method was donations from salary by one or more local administrators and school instructors.\textsuperscript{143} Although there are numerous examples of financing by a single magistrate or prefect, the cost of producing a local history could be more than a magistrate or prefect’s annual salary, and many sought donations from their colleagues.\textsuperscript{144} According to the \textit{Da Ming huidian} (Collected Statutes of the Great Ming), a county magistrate’s annual salary was only 27.49 taels and a prefect’s annual salary was 62.05 taels, but Table 1 shows sixteenth-century county-history production costs ranging
from ten to ninety taels and one large work published in 1640 as costing more than 298 taels.\textsuperscript{145} However, few officials lived on their salaries alone, and clearly many local officials could afford to either pay for the local history or to front the money and be reimbursed from sales, donations, or levies. Some officials may have even profited from publishing local histories. An administrative order contained in the 1530 \textit{Qizhou zhi} (History of Qizhou [Subprefecture]) warns local officials that they must not use the local-history project to extort money from the local people, which suggests that perhaps such extortion was not unknown.\textsuperscript{146}

Although references to local administrators’ donations are common, not all Ming officials who wanted to publish a local history could afford to do so with their own funds. In the fall of 1368, the year of the Ming founding, Zhuo Chiling was appointed to a position in the registry office of Wuzhou, Guangxi. Shortly after arriving, Zhuo recompiled the local history and wanted to publish it, but could not afford to do so. Luckily, soon thereafter a newly arrived assistant prefect, Fan Wenli, used his salary to have it printed.\textsuperscript{147}

In many cases in which a magistrate donated funds, he did not cover the entire production cost. His donations simply led off a fundraising campaign that spread the cost among local officials and gentry, or covered limited expenses, such as those for recutting a few blocks or unbudgeted expenses. For example, an assistant prefect paid out of his own salary those expenses that exceeded the government funds allocated for publishing the 1556 \textit{Huizhou fu zhi} (History of Huizhou Prefecture) (Guangdong).\textsuperscript{148} Excess costs were probably incurred because approved expenditures were based on estimates submitted to higher officials before cutting began and did not always reflect actual costs.

Officials were more likely to donate in times of local budgetary distress than when those pressures were absent. Such distress could come from rebellions, natural disasters, or persistent poverty.\textsuperscript{149} An unusual situation occurred when the Zhengde emperor visited Nanjing in 1519–1520 and wanted to read local histories from around the region. Shangyuan, one of Nanjing’s two urban counties, had an unpublished manuscript, but no money for printing. According to Shangyuan magistrate, Bai Siqi, public funds had been exhausted by the suppression of the Prince of Ning’s rebellion, so Bai asked each of his colleagues to donate funds to print the \textit{Shangyuan xian zhi} (History of Shangyuan County).\textsuperscript{150} Such donations were a relatively painless way for a magistrate to do a documented good deed that would reflect well on his administration.

Superior administrative units also could obtain contributions from sub-
ordinate units. The 1503 history of Fuzhou prefecture, Jiangxi, was published with money and woodblocks provided by magistrates of Fuzhou’s subordinate counties. The reverse, however, does not seem to be true. I have no evidence of superior units paying to print subordinate units’ local histories.

Another funding source was local donors. Most commonly recorded are donations from the compilers themselves and local gentry. The compiler of the 1441 Shangyu xian zhi (History of Shangyu County), Guo Nan, expanded and published a manuscript drafted in the Yongle era (1401–1424) by a local commoner Yuan Hua and polished by his elder brother Yuan Xuan, a teacher of children. Guo, a retired assistant prefect, obtained the manuscript, collated it, added an account of the Ming soldiers’ entrance into the county at the fall of the Yuan, and then paid for the cutting and printing with his own money.

Where a group of scholars jointly compiled a local history, they all might donate to the cost of its publication. The 1457 (Tianshun 1) Huizhou fu zhi (History of Huizhou Prefecture) (Guangdong) was first drafted by Deng Lian, the Confucian–school instructor, in response to the 1454 imperial edict to recompile local histories. After sending the completed manuscript to the court, Deng and several local scholars decided to expand and print it. When the additions were finished, they all donated money for publication. A compiler’s family members might also contribute. The cutting of the 1597 Gushi xian zhi (History of Gushi County) was paid for by compiler Yu Jishan (1580 jinshi) during a time of crisis. Yu’s addendum to his 1597 preface explains why he paid rather than asking the magistrate to provide funds:

The history is complete, ordered, and error free. I want to give it to Suzhou [prefecture] block cutters and have calculated the workers’ costs to be about sixty tael of silver. Because over the last year the locale has been repeatedly invaded and its material resources declared “diminished,” I dare not bother the government [purse] and so donated half of a year’s income to complete the history.

Not all local donors were compilers. An individual local man Zou Xian (1431–1498) paid the entire cost of cutting the thirty-six juan 1494 Wuxi xian zhi (History of Wuxi County). The local history’s preface described Zou as a “local learned man,” but who had no degree. In fact, Zou was a wealthy grain merchant and art collector. Xu Zhidao, an elderly local commoner (qimin), paid to publish the 1515 Dantu xian zhi (History of Dantu County).
Several prominent individuals paid a portion of the publishing costs for the 1542 edition of the *Gushi xian zhi* (History of Gushi County). Its compilation was initiated by order of the Henan grand coordinator to Runing prefecture, Gushi’s superior administrative unit, which ordered its subordinate counties and subprefectures to deliver copies of published local histories and “the writings of sages and worthies of ancient times or today.” Those like Gushi that had no published local history were to immediately submit a compilation plan to the education circuit intendant’s office and, when the work was complete, to send a printed copy to the prefectural yamen. Though Gushi county lacked a published history, nine years earlier its magistrate had hired prefectural Confucian-school student Ge Chen (*juren* 1528) to compile one. Before the manuscript was finished, the magistrate left office, and it was not published. When Magistrate Zhang Ti took office, he looked for the local history and learned that Ge Chen still resided in the county and had his original work. Magistrate Zhang wanted to finish the project, and “prepared book money (*shubi*) to hire Master Ge, a fine man, to help do it.”

In 1541 the work was completed and the Confucian school submitted an expense report to the education intendant stating:

> We invited with due propriety the county’s students and officials [to participate]. We began [compiling the local history] on the first day of the third month and finished on the fifteenth day of the fourth month. When done, we had it cut. The fine copy was written out by Document Clerk Xu Bing and four others. The host official (*yinliguan*) Yang Sui and others voluntarily took care of the craftsmen’s food and expenses. Only the workers’ wages (*gongjia*) and cost of wood for blocks are included in this calculation, which totals thirty-four taels of silver.

A postface gives more information on the local history’s financing:

> How is it that in the past the history of Gushi was neglected, yet today it comes together? The group of gentlemen gathering was the confluence of people. Completing the project in two months was the confluence of time. The appearance one after another of those who donated money out of devotion to duty was the confluence of financing. When the three confluences combined, the history was completed. The esteemed participants’ names are recorded in the prefaces, but we cannot leave out the names of the humble toilers. The host official Yang Sui took care of
feeding the craftsmen. National University Student Yi Cunxu and host
officials Yi Xi and Peng Weiyan fed the copyists.163

Another technique for dividing expenses was for a donor to pay for print-
ing a designated number of blocks or specific blocks. The 1505 Jiangle xian zhi
(History of Jiangle County) was paid for by two “righteous commoners” (yimín)
and the county administrators. The two commoners, Weng Jing and Yu Sheng,
each paid for the cutting of twenty blocks, and Magistrate He Shilin and other
officials paid for the rest.164 In 1552 after fifty blocks were found to be missing from
magistrate Zhang Ti’s 1542 Gushi xian zhi, discussed above, the new magistrate,
Shi Huai, had them recut from an existing imprint. Shi’s blocks are identifiable
by their inscription, “Huangshan shuyuan” (Mt. Huang Academy), which refers
to his native place, Huangshan village, Dong’è county, Shandong, whereas Zhang
Ti’s blocks are inscribed, “Nanjiong caotang” (Nanjiong’s Rustic Hall), following
Zhang’s style name.165

In at least one case, that of the 1621 Ganzhou fu zhi (History of Ganzhou
Prefecture), a publishing house covered printing costs. After the fine copy was
written out in Nanchang, it was returned to Ganzhou, where Publishing House
Head Mr. Yu (Tangzhang Yu gong) gave it to block cutters and covered the cost
himself. Mr. Zhu of the revenue office (sichu) provided food for all of the as-
sembled artisans, and the work was finished in four months.166

It was also possible to fund a local history with small donations from
many donors. Typically, the administrator would make the first contribution to
encourage other contributions.167 Seventy-four local donors, plus the Shaoxing
prefect and Xinchang county magistrate, financed the 1477 Xinchang xian zhi
(History of Xinchang County). The prefect and magistrate kicked off a fundrais-
ing drive with personal donations and thereafter, “donations of silver came like
swarming ants, contributions like a bubbling spring. Small donors did not mind
giving one or two cash; large donations did not exceed five or ten shi [of rice].”168
(For this list of donors, see figure 14.) Genealogies show that most donors were
from fifteen of the twenty Xinchang lineages listed in the local history’s section
on local lineages, so perhaps contributions were solicited from lineage leaders.169
Forty-nine people contributed to the publication of the 1641 Yongnian xian zhi
(History of Yongnian County), and fifty-four contributed to the 1585 Changshan
xian zhi (History of Changshan County).170
授之餘合詠為纂修之諸始雖謙讓紹則矜於光
必酌古而準今筆削不徇私而達善事賈誰而必
錄始未無遠而不書網舉目張門分類析逐進感典
可謂才如不經營以梓行抑恐抄謄之行貢深有
敬於獨力遠邇千子眾緣伏望
邑宰作興鄉人鼓舞揮金如聚蠟捐俸若溺泉少
嫌一錢二錢多不過五石十石公輸子使來刊就楮
先生即與印行將見一邑之內不出戶而可知千載
14a–d. Donor list for the 1477 Xinchang xian zhi (History of Xinchang County), comp. by Mo Dan, “Mu kan Xinchang zhi shu” (Description of Raising Funds For Cutting [Blocks] for the History of Xinchang), pp. 2a–b.
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The relative sizes of donations can be seen in a donations list from the 1640 *Jiangyin xian zhi*. Three officials and eleven local gentry donated a total of 298 taels. Another five people on the list had the amount of their donations blackened out, perhaps for nonpayment. A total of 112 taels was given by the officials and 186 taels by the local gentry. Two people, the magistrate and a local, donated 200 taels, about two-thirds of the total. From these records we can see that local-history projects, like many local infrastructure projects in the Ming dynasty, were financed jointly by administrators and locals.

**Conclusion**

This essay has provided new information on the economics of book production in Ming China and illustrated a method for further research. Data presented in tables 1 through 3 make possible cost estimates for craftsmen’s wages, living expenses, and production materials. Data on funding show that local histories were financed locally by donations, levies, and government funds, most of which came from named sources, especially money collected in magistrates’ courts. Although this project has uncovered substantial new information, it also reveals that we are still at an early stage of research on the economics of book publishing in imperial China. For example, the fact that pear-wood blocks used to print a 1552 history in Guangdong cost only 0.01 taels per block suggests that woodblocks could be very cheap. But we also know that that price was only one-fourtieth the price of high-quality blocks used by the Beijing government in the 1580s. This suggests significant cost variation depending on time, place, and type of book, and we need more data before we can confidently make broad conclusions about how to characterize publishing expenses. Ascertaining the affordability of books to readers is an even greater challenge because if we wish to make informed conclusions, we need not only price and wage data across time and space, but also ways to determine the disposable income of people in various occupations.

Nonetheless, and despite these challenges, this study shows that much can be learned about the economics of book publishing in imperial China by casting a wide net for scattered pieces of information contained in local histories. Previous scholarship did not consider local histories to be important sources for the study of the book industry. However, through careful analysis of the minutiae contained therein—by poring over the comments and complaints of the compilers and preface writers, by scrutinizing the work of craftsmen, by
mapping out movements of compilers, materials, and manuscripts, by examining administrators’ petitions concerning publication—a fascinating portrait emerges of how officials, scholars, and even common people worked to compile, finance, and publish local histories. While a single local history may reveal little, in the accumulated data from numerous local histories, patterns begin to emerge. In terms of listed production costs, we have seen here that mid- to late Ming local histories ranged in cost from as little as ten taels to over three hundred seventy taels, which on a per-page basis would be 0.091 to 0.437 taels. Although most of these figures contain ambiguities regarding the exact costs covered, especially the amount of paper included in the listed cost, we can get a rough idea of costs.

There is still much more to be found in local histories. The material for this project was drawn from a review of only one-half of the approximately one-thousand extant Ming local histories. Compare that to the more than six-thousand surviving Qing and Republican local histories, and it is clear that additional useful information remains to be gleaned from these sources, especially since later local histories are even richer in economic information related to publishing. It is possible to construct a set of data stretching from the origin of the genre to the early twentieth century, and although it would be much thinner for the earlier period, such data would nevertheless be an important contribution to both our understanding of publishing history and economic history in China.

Appendix 1

Relevant portions of the sources for figures in Table 1, with English translations.

1. *Guiji zhi* (History of Guiji) of 1520, in Shaoxing Prefecture, Zhejiang

付刊手王廷珊，夏存誠，等十五人端相鋟梓。經始于正月二十五日，落成于九月十日，凡一千六百工。板五百一十五，幅一千三十。前志、續志，共一部，該一十有二冊。其傭、餐、等費，悉出于公俸之捐，銀計一百一十兩，民不知勞。

The [text] was delivered to the fifteen cutters, Wang Tingshan, Xia Cuncheng, etc. They began work on the twenty-fifth day of the first month and finished on the tenth day of the ninth month, altogether 1600 workdays. There were 515 woodblocks and 1,030 leaves. The original history and the supplemental history were [published] together as one set consisting of twelve fascicles. The 110 taels of
silver for wages, board, and other costs, were all donated by officials; commoners were not bothered.¹

2. *Qizhou zhi* (History of Qizhou [Subprefecture]) of 1530, in Huguang

刊刻誌書板木，並匠作工食、印刷紙、等項，估計價銀叁拾捌兩五錢陸分。

The cost for woodblocks, craftsmen’s wages and board, printing paper, and other items for publishing the local history, is calculated to be 38.56 taels of silver.²

3. *Yingzhou zhi* (History of Yingzhou [Subprefecture]) of 1536, in Nanzhili

其為工費亦煩矣。惟工銀呈於兵備道。動支本州無礙官銀二十有一兩，而工人日用飲食則倍焉。乃自出俸餘及略為措處資給分毫，不與里甲相干也。

Getting the production money was troublesome. I submitted a request to the military defense circuit (*bingbeidao*) for the craftsmen’s wages only and spent twenty-one taels of this subprefecture’s unrestricted government silver, but the craftsmen required twice as much for their daily food and drink. Thus I took some extra money from my own salary and made arrangements for a very small amount of other money; I did not ask the administrative community units (*lijia*) for it.³

4. *Gushi xian zhi* (History of Gushi County) of 1542, in Henan

獨工價板木算該銀三十四兩。

The craftsmen’s wages and wood for blocks alone were thirty-four taels of silver.⁴

5. *Xingning xian zhi* (History of Xingning County) of 1552, in Guangdong

書板計算共一百一十葉，每二葉用梨木板一塊，兩面刊刻共用板五十五塊。每塊該銀一分，併刻字工食、印刷、紙劄通共銀十兩。

The book’s blocks are calculated as follows: In total there are one hundred and ten leaves, every two leaves uses one pear woodblock carved on two sides. The total number of blocks needed is fifty-five. Each block costs one *fen* of silver, and with the cutters’ wages, food, and printing paper, the total cost is ten taels.⁵

6. *Fengrun xian zhi* (History of Fengrun County) of 1570, in Beizhili

見剩銀四十二兩八錢五分六毫，欲於數內動支公用。

Currently remaining [in this account] are 42.8506 taels. We wish to use up to this amount for the public purpose [of printing the local history].⁶
7. *Nanchang fu zhi* (History of Nanchang Prefecture) of 1588, in Jiangxi

Nanchang Prefecture, in accordance with the reports of each county, may use 150 and some taels of silver [for the project].

Each subprefecture and county is allowed to use the reported silver from school land rents and other sources for the expenses of compiling the local history. The two counties of Nan[chang] and Xin[jian] should provide half of the rent silver this year, and wait until the sixteenth year [of the Wanli reign] to collect and provide the other half.

There are a total of 12 fascicles, 30 chapters, and 1,251 leaves. Altogether there are 722 cut blocks, of which 528 blocks are cut on two sides and 194 cut on one side.

8. *Yong’an xian zhi* (History of Yongan County) of 1594, in Fujian.

I then examined the treasury and took out nearly ninety strings of cash paid for the redemption of crimes in cases under my sole jurisdiction and gave it to Assistant Magistrate Xia Bingyi and Clerk Chen Ce to manage the expenses.

9. *Gushi xian zhi* (History of Gushi County) of 1597, in Henan

The local history is complete. Although I have written it poorly, I wanted to give it to Wumen (Suzhou) block cutters. Their wages will be about sixty taels of silver. In recent years the area has been repeatedly invaded and its material resources declared diminished. I dare not trouble the public purse so have donated half of a year’s income to complete it.

10. *Jiangyin xian zhi* (History of Jiangyin County) of 1640, in Nanzhili
江陰縣

知縣馮士仁 銀一百兩 Magistrate Feng Shiren 100 silver taels
縣丞孫旭 銀十兩 Vice Magistrate Sun Xu 10 silver taels
典史王崇文 銀三兩 Clerk Wang Chongwen 三 silver taels
教諭譚振舉 銀二兩 Instructor Tan Zhenju 2 silver taels

守備

commandants:

鮑道傳 銀三兩 Bao Daochuan 三 silver taels
鄒瀚 銀三兩 Zou Han 三 silver taels

鄉紳

local gentry:

陳繼芳 銀二十兩 Chen Jifang 20 silver taels
李鵬翀 銀一百兩 Li Pengchong 100 silver taels
貢修齡 銀二百兩 Gong Xiuling 二百 silver taels
張友譽 銀二十兩 Zhang Youyu 20 silver taels
楊永清 銀三兩 Yang Yongqin 3 silver taels
曹璣 先輸銀十兩 Cao Ji Previously paid 10 silver taels
沈鼎科 銀三兩 Shen Dingke 三 silver taels
趙士春 銀四兩 Zhao Shichun 4 silver taels
徐遵湯 銀十兩 Xu Zuntang 10 silver taels
朱士烈 先輸銀五兩 Zhu Shilie Previously paid 5 silver taels
徐公燮 銀六兩 Xu Gongxie 6 silver taels
夏維新 銀四兩 Xia Weixin 4 silver taels

11. Wuxian zhi (History of Wu County) of 1642 in Nanzhili

鳩工雕板則昉於壬午季春之，竣於八月既望。計版葉二千二百有奇。糜金三百七十兩有奇。

Block cutting began on the first day of the last month of spring of [1642] the renwu year and finished on the sixteenth day of eighth month. The number of blocks and leaves was 2,200 and some. Expended silver was 370 and some taels.
APPENDIX NOTES

1. Postface to Guiji zhi (History of Guiji), comp. by Shi Su, Zhao Hao, et al. (1510), Harvard-Yenching microfilm FC 2715, imprint held in National Central Library, Taipei.

2. Gan Ze, comp., Qizhou zhi (History of Qizhou [Subprefecture]) (1530), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1962), juan 9, pp. 70a–b.

3. Preface to Yingzhou zhi (History of Yingzhou [Subprefecture]), comp. by Lü Jingmeng et al., (1536), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), juan 20, p. 5b (rpt. p. 1110).

4. Preface and postface to Gushi xian zhi (History of Gushi County), comp. by Zhang Ti, Ge Chen, et al. (1542), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1982).

5. Sheng Ji et al., comp., Xingning xian zhi (History of Xingning County) (1552), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), p. 964.


8. Ibid.


10. Preface to Yong'an xian zhi (History of Yongan County), comp. by Su Minwang et al. (1594), rpt. in Riben cang Zhongguo hanjian difangzhi congkan (Beijing: Shumu wenxian chubanshe, 1990), p. 3b (rpt. p. 6).

11. Addendum to the 1597 preface to Gushi xian zhi (History of Gushi County), comp. by Bao Ying et al. (1659), rpt. in Riben cang Zhongguo hanjian difangzhi congkan (Beijing: Shumu wenxian chubanshe, 1990), p. 7 (rpt. p. 15).


13. The number of missing characters is unclear. It presumably says “contributors” or the like.

14. Prefatory matter to Wuxian zhi (History of Wu County), comp. by Niu Ruolin et al. (1642), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), rpt. p. 164.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Total Listed Cost</th>
<th>Items Included in the Total Cost</th>
<th>No. of Blocks</th>
<th>Cut on 1 Side or 2</th>
<th>Folio Pages in Text</th>
<th>Cost Per Wood Block</th>
<th>No. of Block Cutters</th>
<th>Work Days</th>
<th>Wage Costs</th>
<th>Board Costs</th>
<th>Per-Page Block-Cutting Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1510</td>
<td>Guiji zhi Zhejiang</td>
<td>110 taels</td>
<td>“wages, board, etc.”</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>&lt;0.107 taels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1530</td>
<td>Qizhou zhi Huguang</td>
<td>38.56 taels</td>
<td>“wood for blocks, craftsmen’s wages, and board, printing paper, etc.”</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>&lt;0.180 taels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1542</td>
<td>Gushi xian zhi Henan</td>
<td>34 taels</td>
<td>“only the craftsmen’s wages, wood for blocks”</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>copyists’ and cutters’ “food and necessities” were donated</td>
<td>&gt;0.164 taels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1552</td>
<td>Xingning xian zhi Guangdong</td>
<td>10 taels</td>
<td>pear woodblocks, “cutters’ wages, food, printing paper”</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1 for (0.1 taels)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>&lt;0.091 taels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date, Title, and Province</td>
<td>Total Listed Cost</td>
<td>Items Included in the Total Cost</td>
<td>No. of Blocks</td>
<td>Cut on 1 Side or 2</td>
<td>Folio Pages in Text</td>
<td>Cost Per Wood Block</td>
<td>No. of Block Cutters</td>
<td>Work Days</td>
<td>Wage Costs</td>
<td>Board Costs</td>
<td>Per-Page Block-Cutting Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1588 Nanzhang fuj zhi Jiangxi</td>
<td>150 “and some” taels</td>
<td>compilation costs and supplies, including paper</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>528 on 2, 194 on 1</td>
<td>1,251</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>&lt;0.12 taels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1642 Wuxian zhi Nanzhili</td>
<td>370 “and some”</td>
<td>compilation, illustrations, calligraphy, block cutting</td>
<td>2200 “and some”</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2200 “and some”</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>&lt;0.162 taels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date, Title, (Province)</td>
<td>Total Listed Cost</td>
<td>Items Included in the Total Cost</td>
<td>No. of Folio Pages</td>
<td>Folio-Page Size with Margins (cm)</td>
<td>Paper Pages Per Sheet</td>
<td>Sheets Per Copy</td>
<td>Paper Cost for N Copies (Taels)</td>
<td>Paper as % of Total Cost for N Copies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 Sheets</td>
<td>100 Sheets</td>
<td>200 Sheets</td>
<td>50 Sheets</td>
<td>100 Sheets</td>
<td>200 Sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1530 Qizhou zhi (Huguang)</td>
<td>38.56 taels</td>
<td>“wood for blocks; craftsmen’s wages; and board, printing, paper, etc.”</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>24.7 x 34.2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE, TITLE, (PROVINCE)</td>
<td>TOTAL LISTED COST</td>
<td>ITEMS INCLUDED IN THE TOTAL COST</td>
<td>NO. OF FOLIO PAGES WITH MARGINS (CM)</td>
<td>PAPER SIZE SHEET PER SHEET PER COPY</td>
<td>PAPER COST FOR N COPIES (TAELS) 50 SHEETS 100 SHEETS 200 SHEETS</td>
<td>PAPER AS % OF TOTAL COST FOR N COPIES 50 COPIES 100 COPIES 200 COPIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1552 Xingning xian zhi (Guangdong)</td>
<td>10 taels</td>
<td>pear woodblocks, “cutters’ wages, food, printing paper”</td>
<td>110 23.2 x 36.5</td>
<td>A 12 9.2</td>
<td>0.12 0.25 0.49</td>
<td>1.2% 2.5% 4.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B 28 3.9 0.16 0.31 0.63 1.6% 3.1% 6.3%
C 20 5.5 0.22 0.44 0.88 2.2% 4.4% 8.8%
D 12 9.2 0.37 0.74 1.47 3.7% 7.4% 14.7%

1588 Nanchang fu zhi (Jiangxi) | 150 “and some” taels | total compilation costs and supplies, including paper | 1,251 27.1 x 30.6 | A 10 125.1 | 1.67 3.33 6.67 | 1.1% 2.2% 4.4% |

B 35 50.0 2.00 4.00 8.01 1.3% 2.7% 5.3%
C 20 62.55 2.5 5.0 10.0 1.7% 3.3% 6.7%
D 10 125.1 5.01 10/02 20.03 3.3% 6.7% 13.3%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Huangzhou fu zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1501</td>
<td>Ningxia xin zhi</td>
<td>Shaanxi</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1513</td>
<td>Kuizhou fu zhi</td>
<td>Sichuan</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1515</td>
<td>Guanghu xian zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1517</td>
<td>Jianshang fu zhi</td>
<td>Jiangxi</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1517</td>
<td>Yuanzhou fu zhi</td>
<td>Jiangxi</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1521</td>
<td>Huating xian zhi</td>
<td>Nanzhili</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1524</td>
<td>Dongxiang xian zhi</td>
<td>Jiangxi</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1525</td>
<td>Yanting fu zhi</td>
<td>Fujian</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1526</td>
<td>Pujian zhi lue</td>
<td>Zhejiang</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1528</td>
<td>Hui da jü</td>
<td>Guangdong</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1529</td>
<td>Qizhou zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1530</td>
<td>Hui'an xian zhi</td>
<td>Fujian</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1530</td>
<td>Tongzhou zhi</td>
<td>Nanzhili</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1530</td>
<td>Youxi xian zhi</td>
<td>Fujian</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1531</td>
<td>Mianyang zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1535</td>
<td>Changde fu zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536</td>
<td>Ganzhou fu zhi</td>
<td>Jiangxi</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536</td>
<td>Haimen xian zhi</td>
<td>Zhejiang</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536</td>
<td>Hengzhou fu zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536</td>
<td>Shixing xian zhi</td>
<td>Guangdong</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1537</td>
<td>Neihuang xian zhi</td>
<td>Beizhili</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1537</td>
<td>Sinan fu zhi</td>
<td>Guizhou</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1539</td>
<td>Qinzhou zhi</td>
<td>Guangdong</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1540</td>
<td>Yingshen xian zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1541</td>
<td>Jianming fu zhi</td>
<td>Fujian</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1541</td>
<td>Yunyang xian zhi</td>
<td>Sichuan</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1542</td>
<td>Nanxiong fu zhi</td>
<td>Guangdong</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1543</td>
<td>Ruijin xian zhi</td>
<td>Jiangxi</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1543</td>
<td>Shaowu fu zhi</td>
<td>Fujian</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1546</td>
<td>Hanyang fu zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1547</td>
<td>Qishui xian zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1548</td>
<td>Lianhu xian zhi</td>
<td>Shandong</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1549</td>
<td>Pu'au zhou zhi</td>
<td>Guizhou</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1549</td>
<td>Wucheng xian zhi</td>
<td>Shandong</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1550</td>
<td>Guangping fu zhi</td>
<td>Beizhili</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1550</td>
<td>Xundian fu zhi</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1551</td>
<td>Badong xian zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1551</td>
<td>Quwo xian zhi</td>
<td>Shanxi</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1552</td>
<td>Anxi xian zhi</td>
<td>Fujian</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1552</td>
<td>Lingx xian zhi</td>
<td>Shandong</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1552</td>
<td>Xingping xian zhi</td>
<td>Guangdong</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1553</td>
<td>Jianyang xian zhi</td>
<td>Fujian</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1553</td>
<td>Luhe xian zhi</td>
<td>Nanzhili</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1554</td>
<td>Yanhe xian zhi</td>
<td>Henan</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1555</td>
<td>Malu fu zhi</td>
<td>Sichuan</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1557</td>
<td>Anji zhou zhi</td>
<td>Zhejiang</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>PROVINCE</td>
<td>HEIGHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1562</td>
<td>Gaochun xian zhi</td>
<td>Nanzhili</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1567</td>
<td>Yizhen xian zhi</td>
<td>Nanzhili</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1570</td>
<td>Ruichang xian zhi</td>
<td>Jiangxi</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1572</td>
<td>Haizhou zhi</td>
<td>Nanzhili</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1572</td>
<td>Linjiang fu zhi</td>
<td>Jiangxi</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1573</td>
<td>Cili xian zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1575</td>
<td>Luzhou fu zhi</td>
<td>Nanzhili</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1576</td>
<td>Chenzhou zhi</td>
<td>Huguang</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1579</td>
<td>Xinchang xian zhi</td>
<td>Zhejiang</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1588</td>
<td>Nanfang fu zhi</td>
<td>Jiangxi</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1621</td>
<td>Chengdu fu zhi</td>
<td>Sichuan</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1640</td>
<td>Jiangyan xian zhi</td>
<td>Nanzhili</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=59</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes


5. For a study of printing of pre-1400 local histories, see my “Early Printing in China Viewed From the Perspective of Local Histories,” in Lucille Chia and Hilde DeWeerdt, ed., First Impressions: A Cultural History of Print in Imperial China (8th–14th centuries) (Leiden: E. J. Brill, forthcoming).
6. Huang Wei, Fangzhi xue (Local-History Studies) (Shanghai: Fudan daxue chubanshe, 1993), p. 186. Huang states the total number of extant editions as 1017, based on Ba Zhaoxiang’s count. Ba recently revised his count to 1014. See Ba Zhaoxiang, “Lun Mingdai fangzhi de shuilang yu xiu zhi zhidu” (A Discussion of the Number of Ming Dynasty Local Histories and Their Compilation System), in Zhongguo difangzhi (Chinese Local Histories) 4 (2000), pp. 45–51. It should be kept in mind that two copies of the same title often contain different materials because of additions made after the initial printing.


8. The rules of compilation have been preserved in the Shouchang xian zhi (History of Shouchang County) (1586), rpt. in Mingdai guben fangzhi xuan (Selected Sole-Extant-Exemplar Ming-Dynasty Local Histories) (Beijing: Zhonghua quanguo tushuguan wenxian suowei fuzhi zhongxin, 2000). The rules as reprinted in the Shouchang xian zhi are dated 1412 (Yongle 10), but other sources document the order as having been issued in 1418 (Yongle 16).

9. On 1 August 1454 (the eighth day of the seventh month of Jingtai 5), the Ministry of Rites was ordered to recompile the realm’s local histories. Emissaries were dispatched to the provinces to work on the projects. Zhou Jifeng, Yunnan tong zhi (History of Yunnan Province) (1510), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuan kan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), juan 28, p. 19 (rpt. p. 283). For the Chongzhen era, see Huang Wei, Fangzhi xue (Local History Studies), pp. 859–861.


11. Prefaces to Gushi xian zhi (History of Gushi County), comp. by Zhang Ti, Ge Chen, et al. (1542; 1552), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuan kan (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1982), pp. 8a–b.

12. Liu Fu, Yang Pei, et al., comps., Hengzhou fu zhi (History of Hengzhou Prefecture) (1537), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuan kan (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1982), juan 1, pp. 14b and 15b.


14. Prefaces to Neihuang xian zhi (History of Neihuang County), comp. by Dong Xian (1527), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuan kan (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1982), pp. 4b–5b.


16. Respectively, see fanli (principles of composition) to Xuzhou zhi (History of Xuzhou [Subprefecture]), by Ma Tun (1494), Harvard-Yenching microfilm FC 4876(393), original in the National Library of China, pp. 2–4; and “Fan yinyong shumu” (Works Cited), in Hangzhou fu zhi (History of Hangzhou Prefecture), comp. by Chen Rang et al. (1474), Harvard-Yenching microfilm FC 4876(406), original in the National Library of China.
Other substantial lists of works consulted are found in the following three local histories:

- The 1512 *Songjiang fu zhi* (History of Songjiang Prefecture) (fifty-seven works)—see Gu Qing, comp., *Songjiang fu zhi* (1512), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990);
- the 1501 *Ningxia xin zhi* (New History of Ningxia) (forty-two works)—see preface to *Ningxia xin zhi*, comp. by Hu Rukuang et al. (1501) in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990); and
- the 1542 *Yexian zhi* (History of Ye County) (thirty-seven works)—see *mulu* (table of contents) to *Yexian zhi*, comp. by Shao Bi et al. (1542), Harvard-Yenching microfilm FC 4876(388), original in the National Library of China, p. 7.

17. Prefatory matter to *Xingning xian zhi* (History of Xingning County), comp. by Sheng Ji et al. (1552), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), p. 2a.
18. See, for example, prefaces to *Xinchang xian zhi* (History of Xinchang County), comp. by Tian Guan et al. (1579), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), p. 2a (rpt. p. 2a).
19. Prefaces to *Tengxian zhi* (History of Teng County), comp. by Yang Chengfu et al. (1585); rpt. in Riben cang Zhongguo hanjian difangzhi congkan (Beijing: Shumu wenxian chubanshe, 1991), p. 1b (rpt. p. 1).
20. Old prefaces to *Guangchang xian zhi* (History of Guangchang County), comp. by Wang Jingsheng et al. (1683), rpt. in Zhongguo fangzhi congshu (Taipei: Chengwen chubanshe, 1989), pp. 1–2.
22. Cong Pan, postface to *Ninghai zhou zhi* (History of Ninghai Subprefecture), comp. by Li Guangxian et al. (1548), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), p. 2a (rpt. p. 873).
23. Prefaces for *Ningzhou zhi* (History of Ning [Subprefecture]), comp. by Gong Xian (1543), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), pp. 4b–5b (rpt. pp. 8–10).
25. *Fanli* (principles of composition) to *Luhe xian zhi* (History of Luhe County), comp. by Dong Bangzheng et al. (1553), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), rpt. p. 718.
27. Ibid., pp. 849–851.
29. Gong Xian, preface to ibid., p. 1b.
30. Preface and *fanli* (principles of composition) to *Bozhou zhi* (History of Bozhou [Subprefecture]), comp. by Sun Yuanqing, Li Xianfang, et al. (1564), Harvard-Yenching microfilm FC 4876(393), no. 812, original in the National Library of China.
31. Feng Fujing, preface to *Changguo zhou tu zhi* (History of Changguo Prefecture with Illustrations), comp. by Feng Fujing et al. (1298), rpt. in Song-Yuan fangzhi congkan (Taipei: Guotai wenhua shiyi youxian gongsi, 1954), p. 6061.
35. Zheng Dongbi, “Xin zhi xu xiaoyin” (A Short Introduction to the *Continuation of the History of Xin[chang]*) in *Xinchang xian zhi* (History of Xinchang County), comp. by Tian Guan et al. (1579), supplemented and rpt. in 1618 by Zheng Dongbi, facsimile copy held in the Tōyō Bunko, Tokyo, Japan, original in the National Diet Library.
36. Gu Yan, preface, to *Lüeyang xian zhi* (History of Lüeyang County), comp. by Li Yuchun et al. (1552), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1982), p. 6a.
37. Postface to *Jianping xian zhi* (History of Jianping County), comp. by Lian Kuang Yew, et al. (1531), Harvard-Yenching microfilm FC 4876(393), original in the National Library of China.
38. Postface to *Xingguo zhou zhi* (History of Xingguo Subprefecture), comp. by Tang Ning et al. (1554), Harvard-Yenching microfilm FC 4876(497), original in National Library of China.
40. Preface to *Ninghai zhou zhi* (History of Ninghai Subprefecture), comp. by Li Guangxian et al. (1548), pp. 5a–b (rpt. pp. 663–664).
41. Table of contents to *Tengxian zhi* (History of Teng County), comp. by Yang Chengfu et al. (1585), p. 3b (rpt. p. 4).
42. Prefatory matter to *Wuxian zhi* (History of Wu County), comp. by Niu Ruolin et al. (1642), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), rpt. p. 164.
43. For example, blocks for the *Gu Teng jun zhi* (History of Old Teng Commandery) (1374) were cut in the county Confucian school. See Wang Duanlai et al., eds., *Yongle dadian fangzhi yi yi* (Histories Reconstructed from the Great Encyclopedia of the Yongle Reign) (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 2004), p. 3032. For additional evidence of block cutting in schools and yamen, see my “The Geography of Ming Dynasty Gazetteer Production and

44. For editing during mourning leave, see postface to Jingshian zhi (History of Jing County), comp. by Wang Tinggan et al. (1552), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankuan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), p. 1a (rpt. p. 415).

Zheng Qingyun edited the history of Yanping prefecture while on sick leave. See preface to Yanping fu zhi (1525), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankuan (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1982).

For editing while in retirement, see administrative petition in Yongping fu zhi (History of Yongping Prefecture) (1501), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankuan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), p. 1b (rpt. p. 24).

45. Preface to Neihuang xian zhi (History of Neihuang County), comp. by Dong Xian (1527), pp. 4b–5b.

46. Preface to Wuxi xian zhi (History of Wuxi County), comp. by Zhou Bangjie, Qin Liang, et al. (1574), Harvard-Yenching microfilm FC4876(349), original in the National Library of China, p. 2.

47. Preface to Tengxian zhi (History of Teng County), comp. by Yang Chengfu et al. (1585), p. 1a (rpt. p. 1).

48. Ibid., juan 1, p. 5 (rpt. p. 5).


50. Feng Bo, postface to Yanshi xian zhi (History of Yanshi County), comp. by Wei Jin et al. (1504), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankuan (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1982).

51. Prefatory matter to Xingning xian zhi (History of Xingning County), comp. by Sheng Ji et al. (1552), pp. 1a–4b, and juan 4, p. 9b.

52. Zhang Yuanshu, ed. Shanyin Baiyutan Zhang shi zupu (Lineage genealogy of the Zhangs of Baiyutan, Shangyin) (1628), Library of Congress microfilm Orien China 496, biography of Zhang Yuanyi, unpaginated (see biographies of the thirteenth generation).

53. Front matter to Shaoting fu zhi, comp. by Xiao Lianggan, Zhang Yuanbien et al. (1587), rpt. p. 17.

54. Preface and postface to Puzhou zhi (History of Puzhou [Subprefecture]), comp. by Deng Fu (1527), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankuan xubian (Shanghai: Shanghai shudian, 1990), p. 1b (rpt. p. 276) and p. 1b (rpt. p. 732), respectively. Another example is Wang Tinggan, who was “invited with ritual money (libi)” to compile the history of Jing county. See postface to Jingshian zhi (History of Jing County), comp. by Wang Tinggan et al. (1552), p. 1a (rpt. p. 415).


56. Hengzhou fu zhi (1537), juan 9, pp. 13a–b. First cited in note 12 above. For more on Liu
Fu’s publication of the *Hengzhou fu zhi*, see my “Writing, Publishing, and Reading Local Histories in Ming China” (Ph.D. diss., University of Minnesota, 2004), chapter 3.

57. Postface to *Huaiiren xian zhi* (History of Huaiiren County), comp. by Dang Zhao et al. (1600), Harvard-Yenching microfilm FC4876(482), original in the National Library of China.

58. Prefaces to *Lianzhou fu zhi* (History of Lianzhou Prefecture), comp. by Zhang guojing et al. (1637), rpt. in Riben cang Zhongguo Han jian difangzhi congkan (Beijing: Shumu wenxian chubanshe, 1992), p. 2b (rpt. p.1). Lianzhou was in Guangdong in the Ming but is now in Guangxi.

59. Mt. Gaoliang is about thirty-five kilometers north of the modern city of Maoming.

60. Postface to *Longqing zhi* (History of Longqing), comp. by Su Qian et al. (1549), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1981), p. 4a and juan 10, pp. 77a–b. Longqing subprefecture was renamed Yanqing subprefecture upon the Longqing emperor’s ascent to the throne in 1567.

61. On summoning craftsmen, see preface to *Wuding zhou zhi*, comp. by Sang Dongyang et al. (1588), rpt. in Meigu Hafo daxue HafoYanjing tushuguan cang Zhongwen shanben huikan (Guilin: Guangxi shifan daxue chubanshe, 2003).

On recruiting craftsmen, see “Xiuzhi shiyou” (Record of Compiling the History), *Yongfeng xian zhi*, comp. by Guan Jin et al. (1544), rpt. in Tianyige cang Mingdai fangzhi xuankan (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1982), p. 2a. See also Ke Yiquan, preface to *Qingyun xian zhi* (History of Qingyun County), comp. by Ke Yiquan, Yang Zhouhe, et al., (1585), Harvard-Yenching microfilm FC 4876(333), original in the National Library of China.
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Anqing fu zhi 安慶府志
Anji zhou zhi 安吉州志
Anxi xian zhi 安溪縣志
Badong xian zhi 巴東縣志
bai da Kaihua zhi 白大開化紙
bai dazhong jia zhi 白大中夾紙
Bai Siqi 白思齊
bangzhi 榜紙
Bao Daochuan 鮑道傳
Baoding fu zhi 保定府志
Bao Ying 包韺
Beizhili 北直隸
bian min yinxing 便民印行
bingbeidao 兵備道
Bozhai ji 栢齋集
Bozhou 亳州
Bozhou zhi 亳州志
buyi 布衣
Cai Jin 蔡縉
Cangwu jun zhi 蒼梧郡志
Canju jiuzhi bin yinyong zhushu 參據舊志並引用諸書
Cao Ji 曹珥
Cao Xuequan 曹學佺
Chaling 茶陵
Chaling zhou zhi 茶陵州志
Changde fu zhi 常德府志
Changguo zhou tu zhi 昌國州圖志
Changshan xian zhi 常山縣志
Changshu 常熟
Chaoyang xian zhi 朝陽縣志
Chaozhou 潮州
Chen Ce 陳策
Chengdu fu zhi 成都府志
Cheng Kai 程楷
Cheng Minzheng 程敏政
Cheng Sanxing 程三省
Chen Hongmo 陳洪謨
Chen Jifang 陳繼芳
Chen Lian 陳璉
Chen Rang 陳讓
Chenzhou zhi 郴州志
Chi 尺
Chongxian Hezhou zhi 重修合州志
Chongxiu Wuwei zhou zhi xu 重修無為州志序
Chongyi 崇義
Chongyang xian zhi 崇義縣志
Chu 褚
Chu Jiazao 儲家藻
Chuxue ji 初學記
Cili xian zhi 慈利縣志
Cong Pan 叢磐
da 大
da bai bangzhi 大白榜紙
Da Ming huidian 大明會典
Da Ming yitong mingsheng zhi 大明一統名勝志
Da Ming yitongzhi 大明一統志
Dang Zhao 党炤
Dangshan 碭山
Dangshan xian zhi 碭山縣志
Dantu xian zhi 丹徒縣志
da 刀
Dazi Gaoshi  大字告示
Deng Fu  鄧馥
Deng Lian  鄧璉
Dengzhou  登州
Dong Bangzheng  董邦政
Dong'e  東阿
Dong Xian  董弦
Dongxiang xian zhi  東鄉縣志
Du Nan  杜柟
Du Xinfu  杜信孚
Er qian ling  贳錢零
Fangce zang  方冊藏
Fanke  蕃刻
Fan Lai  范淵
Fanli  凡例
Fan Wenli  范文禮
Fan yingyong shumu  凡引用書目
Fen  分
Feng Bo  馮伯
Fengcheng  奉城
Feng Fujing  馮福京
Fengrun  豐潤
Fengrun xian zhi  豐潤縣志
Feng Shiren  馮士仁
Fengyang  鳳陽
Fu'an xian zhi  福安縣志
Fu chucao libi shuchao  附楮槽利弊疏鈔
Fuzhou  撫州
Fuzhou fu zhi  撫州府志
Gan  贛
Gan Shouxian  甘手憲
Gan Ze  甘澤
Ganzhou  贛州
Ganzhou fu zhi  贛州府志
Gaochun xian zhi  高淳縣志
Gaoliang  高涼
Gao Tingyu  高廷愉
Ge Chen  葛臣
ge juanfeng wei chang er yiren haoshizhe jie lezhu ye  各捐俸為倡而邑人好事者皆樂助也
Geng Ziming  耿子明
gong  工
gongjia  工價
gong nei shi  公內事
gongren riyong yin shi  工人日用飲食
Gong Xian  龔暹
Gongxian zhi  鞏縣志
Gong Xiuling  貢修齡
gongyin  工銀
Guangchang  廣昌
Guangchang xian zhi  廣昌縣志
Guangdong  廣東
Guanghua xian zhi  光化縣志
Guangxin  廣信
Guangxin fu zhi  廣信府志
Guan Jing  管景
Guangping fu zhi  廣平府志
Guide  歸德
Gui ji xu zhi  會稽續志
Gui ji zhi  會稽志
Guo Nan  郭南
Gu Qing  顧清
Gushi  固始
Gushi xian zhi  固始縣志
Gu Teng jun zhi  古藤郡志
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Ma Tingzhen 马廷震
Ma Tun 马暾
Mianyang zhi 沔阳志
Miao Yonghe 糜咏禾
Min (commoner) 民
Min (string of cash) 錢
Ming nanjian fakuan xiuban zhi miu 明南監罰款修板之謬
Mingshi 明史
Mo Dan 莫旦
Mu gong 募工
Mu ke Xin chang zhi shu 募刻新昌志疏
mulu 目錄
Nanchang 南昌
Nanchang fu zhi 南昌府志
Nan Daji 南大吉
Nanjiong caotang 南坰草堂
Nankang 南康
Nan xiong fu zhi 南雄府志
Nanzhili 南直隶
Neihuang 内黃
Neihuang xian zhi 内黃縣志
Nei xiang xian zhi 内鄉縣志
Ning 寧
Ninghai 寧海
Ninghai zhou zhi 寧海州志
Ningxia xin zhi 寧夏新志
Ningzhou 寧州
Ningzhou zhi 寧州志
Niu Ruolin 牛若麟
nongmin 農民
Nongshu 農書
paisheng yin 派剩銀
Pan En 潘恩
Pengcheng zhi 彭城志
Peng Weiyuan 彭危言
Pinghu 平湖
Pinghu xian zhi 平湖縣志
Pingliang fu zhi 平涼府志
Pu'an 普安
Pu'an zhou zhi 普安州志
Pujiang zhi 浦江志
Puyang 濮陽
Puzhou 濮州
Puzhou zhi 濮州志
qimin 耆民
Qingyun 慶雲
Qingyun xian zhi 慶雲縣志
Qin Liang 秦梁
Qinzhou zhi 欽州志
Qi sheng 齊乘
Qishui xian zhi 蘅水縣志
Qizhou zhi 蘅州誌
Quwo xian zhi 曲沃縣志
ren fan zang shu deng yin 人犯賊贖等銀
renwu 壬午
ru 儒
Ruichang xian zhi 瑞昌縣志
Ruijin xian zhi 瑞金縣志
Ruizhou 瑞洲
Ruizhou xian zhi 瑞洲縣志
Runing 汝寧
Runing fu Guangzhou Gushi xian wei chaqu 汝寧府光州固始縣
zhishu wenji shi 為查取誌書文籍事
Sang Dongyang 桑東陽
Sanggan 桑乾
Sanyang tuzhi 三陽圖志
Sha 沙
Shangqiu 商丘
Shangyuan 上元
Shangyuan xian zhi 上元縣志
Shangyu xian zhi 上虞縣志
Shangyu xian zhi jiaoxu 上虞縣誌校續
Shanyin 山陰
Shanyin Baiyutan Zhang shi zupu 山陰白漁潭張氏宗譜
Shao Bi 邵苾
Shaowu fu zhi 邵武府志
Shaoxing 紹興
Shaoxing fu zhi 紹興府志
Shen Bang 沈榜
Shen Dingke 沈鼎科
Sheng Ji 盛繼
Sheng Tai 盛苔
Shen Jiayin 申佳胤
Shen Yong 神用
shi 石
Shi Bangzheng 石邦政
Shi Huai 師槐
Shi Su 施宿
Shixing xian zhi 始興縣志
Shouchang xian zhi 壽昌縣志
shuajiang 刷匠
shubi 書幣
Shulin qinghua 書林清話
Sibao 四堡
sichu 司儲
Sinan fu zhi 思南府志
sisheng 私乘
sizhi 私志
Song Ji 宋驥
Songjiang fu zhi 松江府志
SongYingxing 宋應星
Su Minwang 蘇民望
Sun Cun 孫存
Sun Xu 孫旭
Sun Yuanqing 孫元卿
Su Qian 蘇乾
SuYou 蘇祐
Suzhou 蘇州
Suzhou zhi 宿州志
Taipusi 太僕寺
Tang Ning 唐寧
Tang Shunzhi 唐順之
Tang Xichun 唐熙春
Tangzhang Yu gong 堂長余公
Tan Zhenju 譚振舉
Tengxian zhi 滕縣志
Tianchang 天長
Tianchang xian zhi 天長縣志
Tian Chen 田琛
Tiangong kaiwu 天工開物
Tian Guan 田培
T’ien-kung k’ai-wu, see Tiangong kaiwu
Tingzhou fuzhi 汀州府志
Tongling xian zhi 銅陵縣志
Tongling xian zhi gongyi 銅陵縣志公移
Tongzhou zhi 通州志
tujing 圖經
Tu Long 屠隆
Wan 宛
Wang Chaoxuan 王朝選
Wang Chongrang 王寵漋
Yongzhou fu tuzhi xu 永州府圖志序
Yongzhou fu zi 永州府志
Youxi xian zhi 尤溪縣志
Yuan Hua 袁輝
Yuan Xuan 袁鉉
Yuanzhou fu zhi 袁州府志
Yueshi bian 閏世編
Yuhai 玉海
Yu Jishan 余繼善
Yu Lian 余廉
Yunnan tong zhi 南通志
Yunyang xian zhi 雲陽縣志
Yu Sheng 余盛
Yu Tai 余泰
Yu Wenlong 余文龍
Yuzhang Luo xiansheng wenji 豫章羅先生文集
zai caoshe ke 在草舍刻
zang 貽
Zeng Rutan 曾汝檀
Zeng Shengwu 曾省吾
Zeng Xian 曾顯
Zhang Cai 張才
Zhang Guojing 張國經
Zhang Huang 章潢
Zhangping 潭平
Zhangping xian zhi 潭平縣志
Zhang Qixian 張敬賢
Zhang Qixun 張奇勳
Zhang Quan 張全
Zhang Ti 張梯
Zhang Tianzhen 張天真
Zhang Tingyu 張廷玉
Zhang Youyu 張友譽
Zhang Yuanbian 張元忭
Zhang Yuanshu 張元淑
Zhang Yuanyi 張元益
Zhang Yuanzhen 張元禎
Zhang Yunzhong 張允中
Zhang Zhi 張治
Zhang Zhu 張注
Zhang Zuo 張佐
Zhan Lai 詹萊
Zhao 趙
zhao gong 召工
Zhao Hao 趙澆
Zhao Shichun (name of a compiler of a 1560 history) 趙時春
Zhao Shichun (name of a contributor to a 1640 history) 趙士春
Zhao Tang 趙堂
Zhao Yingshi 趙應式
Zheng Dongbi 鄭東璧
zheng jiang 徵匠
Zheng Qiao 鄭喬
Zheng Qingyun 鄭慶雲
zhengti 政體
Zheng Xi 鄭禧
zhifang shi 職方氏
Zhongdu 中都
Zhongdu Shijie 鍾仕傑
Zhong Shijie 鍾仕傑
Zhou Bangjie 周邦傑
Zhou Jifeng 周季凮
Zhou Qiyong 周期雍
Zhou Tang 周棠
Zhou Wanjin 周萬金
Zhou Zhongshi 周仲士
Zhu 朱
Zhu Gao 朱誥
Zhuo Chiling 卓赤良
Zhu Shifu 朱士黻
Zhu Shilie 朱士烈

Zhu Xi 朱熹
zi li cisong zangfa 自理詞訟贓罰
zimin 子民
Zou Han 鄒瀚
Zou Xian 鄒賢
Zou Youzhi zhuan 鄒佑之傳